MS RESEARCH UPDATE





Improving Lives Today!

MSAA's *MS Research Update* is published annually as a service to the MS community. For additional information about MS as well as MSAA's programs and services, please visit **mymsaa.org** or call **(800) 532-7667**.

MS RESEARCH UPDATE

PUBLISHED MARCH 2013

The Multiple Sclerosis Association of America (MSAA) is a leading resource for the entire MS community, improving lives today through vital services and support.

MSAA publications are intended to inform and educate those with MS and their families. MSAA does not endorse or recommend any specific products, services, therapies, or activities mentioned in articles or advertisements that appear in MSAA publications. MSAA, its staff, and those affiliated with the writing of this publication cannot be held responsible for any unintentional errors.

MSAA strives to provide useful, up-to-date information on matters of concern to MS patients and their families. This material is intended for general informational purposes only, and it does not constitute medical advice. You should not use the information presented as a means of diagnosis or for determining treatment. For diagnosis and treatment options, you are urged to consult your physician.

Copyright © Multiple Sclerosis Association of America, 2013. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from MSAA.



Improving Lives Today!

CONTENTS

Introduction		1
--------------	--	---

Trial Phases for Investigating Drugs and Treatments4

FDA-APPROVED MEDICATIONS

Administered via Self-Injection

Avonex® (interferon beta-1a)	6
Betaseron [®] (interferon beta-1b)	
Copaxone [®] (glatiramer acetate)	
Extavia® (interferon beta-1b)	
Rebif [®] (interferon beta-1a)	

Administered via Intravenous (IV) Infusion

Novantrone® (mitoxar	itrone)1	4
Tysabri [®] (natalizumab)		4

Administered Orally

Aubagio [®] (teriflunomide)	
0	
Glienya® (πngolimod, FTY	720)19

EXPERIMENTAL MEDICATIONS

Experimental Oral Medications

Tecfidera [™] (dimethyl fumarate, formerly BG-12)	22
Laquinimod	24
Cladribine	25

Experimental Monoclonal Antibodies

•	
Lemtrada® (alemtuzumab, formerly Campath)	26
Daclizumab (also known as Zenapax [®])	
Rituxan® (rituximab)	
Ocrelizumab	
Ofatumumab (also known as Arzerra [®])	

Other Therapeutic Strategies

New S1P Receptor Modulators	3
Masitinib (also known as Kinavet [®] and Masivet [®])	
Tcelna™ (formerly Tovaxin [®])3	5
BHT-3009	6
Vitamin D3	6
Statins	8
Tetracycline Antibiotics	9
Chronic Cerebrospinal Venous Insufficiency (CCSVI)4	.0

NEW DIRECTIONS IN MS RESEARCH

New Therapeutic Approaches4	-4
Closing Notes4	-7
References4	-8

INTRODUCTION

MS RESEARCH UPDATE

Published in March 2013, this update is a comprehensive overview of research findings on the FDA-approved disease-modifying therapies, as well as many experimental treatments

Written by **Stephen Krieger, MD** and **Diana M. Schneider, PhD** Reviewed by **Jack Burks, MD**

Edited by Susan Wells Courtney

INTRODUCTION

Based on the positive response to the *MS Research Updates* published in prior years in *The Motivator*, this year's expanded update incorporates new information about the approved disease-modifying therapies

(DMTs), as well as numerous experimental drugs currently under investigation, for the long-term treatment of multiple sclerosis (MS). Highlights and recent research results are provided for each drug. This 2013 edition of MSAA's *MS Research Update* is the first to be printed as a stand-alone issue, reflecting the incredible diversity and

scope of research progress in MS. There is nonetheless far too much ongoing research in MS therapeutics for all of it to be covered here. This is therefore not a complete list, and not all study results could be included. This information is based on a wide range of sources, including the extensive journal literature on MS and its management, a review of ongoing clinical trials, and papers presented at major national and international conferences.



These include the 2012 conferences hosted by the American Academy of Neurology (AAN), the Consortium of Multiple Sclerosis Centers (CMSC), and the American and European Committees for Treatment and Research in Multiple Sclerosis (ACTRIMS and ECTRIMS). The year 2013 marks the

The year 2013 marks the 20th anniversary of the United

States Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) approval of Betaseron[®], the first disease-modifying therapy for MS, and the beginning of the MS-treatment era. This medicine, and other available medications

MSAA

1

INTRODUCTION



that followed, continue to show effectiveness over the long term. Importantly, these medicines have also demonstrated a proven long-term safety track record, which is crucial when considering that people with MS often require treatment for decades.

A recent review by Mark S. Freedman, MD, in the journal *Neurology*, summarized the positive long-term data for Avonex[®], Betaseron[®], Extavia[®], Rebif[®], and Copaxone[®]. These are the five FDAapproved drugs given via self-injection for the long-term treatment of MS. All five drugs (given individually, not in combination) reduce the frequency and severity of relapses. They also show that long-term treatment improves outcomes by delaying the time to significant disease progression. In addition, treatment begun early in the disease process is correlated with optimal outcomes over the long term.

Preferably, treatment is now often started when a person is diagnosed as having a clinically isolated syndrome (CIS). This is defined as a single attack (or the appearance of one or more symptoms characteristic of MS), with a very high risk of developing MS, when no other diseases or causes for symptoms are apparent.

The use of MRI scans to identify lesions characteristic of MS has taken away the need to watch and wait for a second attack of MS in order to make this diagnosis. Numerous studies with multiple types of disease-modifying therapies (DMTs) have confirmed that early treatment at the time of CIS is beneficial in the long term.

Tysabri is another important DMT option available for individuals with MS. Given via intravenous infusion (IV), Tysabri is effective in reducing MS-disease activity, both in terms of relapses and lesions as seen on MRI scans. However, this medication does carry a small risk of a viral brain infection called PML, caused by the JC virus. A new blood test to identify those who have been exposed to the JC virus, along with the recognition of other risk factors, allows clinicians to minimize this risk.

Two oral medications have been approved in the past two years to treat MS. In September 2010, Gilenya[®] (fingolimod) became the first oral DMT approved by the FDA for the treatment of relapsing forms of MS. Studies show that it reduces disease activity and the progression of disability, while offering the advantages of an oral medication to individuals who have difficulty with the injected DMTs. Particularly when starting this treatment, and at regular



intervals afterwards, patients are monitored for potential adverse events.

September 2012 saw the FDA approval of the second oral DMT for relapsing forms of MS, Aubagio[®] (teriflunomide). As with all of the approved drugs for MS, information on this medicine's clinical trial results, efficacy, and safety will be discussed in the pages to follow. Aubagio uses an entirely different mechanism of action, and presents another oral option to treat relapsing forms of MS.

During 2013, we anticipate that a third oral medication will be potentially approved by the FDA, called Tecfidera[™] (Dimethyl Fumarate or DMF; formerly known as BG-12). Another new medication given by infusion, Lemtrada[®] (alemtuzumab, formerly known as Campath), may also complete the approval process. Please see details on these and many other new and emerging therapies in the pages to follow.

Individuals reading this update may also be interested in reading MSAA's cover story from the Winter/Spring 2010 issue of *The Motivator*, titled, "MS Process and Targets for Treatment." This article may be easily accessed through MSAA's website at **mymsaa.org/publications** and selecting "*The Motivator*" and the year of the issue. Anyone without internet access may call MSAA at (800) 532-7667 to request a printed copy.

For more information on the specific symptoms of MS and treatments, please visit **mymsaa.org**, go to "About MS," and then select "Symptoms."



Please note that the authors have reported on the most recent study results available at the time of publication (prior to the 2013 medical conferences). While every effort has been made to provide meaningful, timely, and balanced information on each available agent, keeping the length of information equal for each medication is not possible. Please know that the different lengths of text should in no way be considered as favoritism toward any one product. Additionally, please note that references have only been cited for the newer study results.

Editor's note: Initial study results from therapeutic agents under investigation should be considered as preliminary, since additional studies and/or evaluations may be needed to prove the safety and efficacy of these agents. MSAA does not endorse or recommend any specific products or therapies. Readers are advised to consult their physician before making any changes to their medication, diet, exercise, or other treatment regimen.

Trial Phases for Investigating Drugs and Treatments

Every approved treatment for MS has undergone extensive study prior to receiving approval by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA). The process of testing a new drug therapy for MS is time-consuming, and all drugs must undergo several phases of investigation in order to be deemed both safe and effective.

Before a pharmaceutical company can initiate testing in humans, it must conduct extensive preclinical or laboratory research. This research typically involves years of experiments in animal and human cells, to develop compounds that have the desired biological effect. Once such a drug is developed, it is often tested on animals before human studies can even begin. The clinical testing of experimental drugs is normally done in three phases, with each successive phase involving a larger number of people. Sometimes fourth-phase trials are conducted after approval for additional data on effectiveness and adverse events over a longer period of time.

Phase I: Phase I studies are primarily concerned with assessing the drug's safety. This initial phase of testing in humans is done in a small number of healthy volunteers, and is designed to determine what happens to the drug in the human body – how it is absorbed, metabolized, and excreted.

Phase I trials are referred to as "open

label" and "unblinded," because everyone – the patient, medical staff, and investigators – knows the drug and dose that each participant is receiving. A Phase I study will investigate side effects that occur as dosage levels are increased. Phase I trials can take several months to one year to complete.

Phase II: Once a drug has been shown to be safe, it must be tested for efficacy. This second phase of testing may last from several months to two years, and involve up to several hundred patients. Phase II studies are often "double-blinded," meaning that the participants, medical staff, and investigators are not told who is receiving the drug and who is receiving the placebo.

These studies are also "randomized," so that participants are assigned to treatment groups (or "treatment arms") based on chance. One group of patients receives the experimental drug, while a second "control" group will receive a standard treatment or placebo. In this manner, the study can provide the pharmaceutical company and the FDA information about the relative safety of the new drug, and its effectiveness.

In multiple sclerosis (MS), Phase II trials frequently use disease-activity measurements determined through MRI scans (such as new lesions or gadolinium-enhancing lesions) as the primary outcomes. MRI scans are used because this sort of data can be obtained more quickly and with fewer patients versus determining clinical outcomes, such as relapse rates or permanent disability. Only about one-third of experimental drugs successfully complete both Phase I and Phase II studies.

Phase III: In a Phase III study, a drug is usually tested in several hundred to several thousand patients, usually in multiple medical facilities around the world. Phase III studies typically last several years. This large-scale testing provides the pharmaceutical company and the FDA with a more thorough understanding of the drug's effectiveness, benefits, and the range of possible adverse reactions.

Most Phase III studies are randomized and blinded trials. Only after a Phase III study is successfully completed can a pharmaceutical company request FDA approval for marketing the drug.

Phase IV: Phase IV clinical trials are conducted after a drug has been approved. Participants are enrolled to further monitor safety and side effects, while evaluating long-term efficacy.

MS poses a specific set of challenges for clinical research. It is a highly-variable condition that affects everyone differently. Choosing the correct population of MS patients to study poses formidable challenges to clinical research, and is a major reason why accurately comparing the results of different MS drug trials (in order to answer the question "which drug is better?") is impossible.

In addition, MS varies over time, even within the same person. He or she may experience "good days" and "bad days," as well as actual MS relapses that last for days to weeks and even months. Symptoms may persist from previous relapses, and other effects, such as fatigue, stiffness, and pain, are difficult to study.

Choosing what outcome to study is another challenge to MS research design. Some of the most commonly studied include: number of relapses; time to next relapse; number of new lesions seen on MRI; and cumulative disability (as measured by the EDSS). "EDSS" refers to the Kurtzke Expanded Disability Status Scale, which uses numbers from one to 10 to measure degree of disability, largely in terms of mobility.

All of these variables take time to assess. In general, several years of research are needed for an MS drug to even begin Phase III testing. Once begun, Phase III trials require several months to enroll, often two years to conduct, and another year for the results to be fully analyzed and published. Obtaining FDA approval after studies are complete usually takes the better part of a year as well. The standards for proving that a drug is safe for patients and proven effective against MS are incredibly high, and many years of work are necessary to meet that standard.

MSAA

Avonex[®] (interferon beta-1a)

Company: Biogen Idec

- Taken via weekly intramuscular injections; dosage is 30 mcg (micrograms)
- The FDA approved Avonex in 1996 for relapsing MS and more recently for individuals with clinically isolated syndrome (CIS)

Avonex has been shown to reduce the number of relapses and lesions on an MRI, as well as slowing the progression of physical disability. This drug has been shown to be both safe and effective.

Interferons appear to reduce inflammation by modulating a favorable balance between cells that increase inflammation and cells that decrease inflammation. They also prevent the transport of damaging lymphocytes into the brain. Lymphocytes are immune-system cells produced to fight infection and disease.

In 2012. Avonex became available with a single-use, prefilled autoinjector called the Avonex Pen. The Avonex Pen incorporates the current Avonex Prefilled Syringe. Its needle is 25 gauge (width) and 5/8ths of an inch in length. Rather than a manual injection, the Avonex Pen injects with a click, using a covered needle that's half the length of the standard needle used with the Avonex Prefilled Syringe. In a Phase IIIb study, 94 percent of patients preferred the Avonex Pen over the Avonex Prefilled Syringe. Both the European Union and Canada approved the Avonex Pen in 2011. This new option has the potential to make the weekly intramuscular self-injection

process less stressful for people using this medication.

Long-term studies of Avonex include the ASSURANCE study, which evaluated 15year data and showed that early suppression of clinical disease activity by Avonex is a marker of treatment response. This is associated with significant long-term benefits for quality of life as compared to patients who had received placebo. These results support other accumulating evidence that short-term responders to Avonex are also inclined to experience beneficial long-term outcomes.

A 10-year analysis of data from the CHAMPS trial – which treated patients with CIS and MRI findings consistent with MS – showed that although some had characteristics of disease progression, there was evidence of improved disease course with early treatment. These results again emphasize the value of early treatment. This effect remained evident in both the CHAMPIONS five- and 10-year extension studies.

CORRECTION (November 1, 2013): The following information is regarding an unapproved, experimental treatment for MS,



which is presently under review by the FDA. It is a "PEGylated" version of interferon beta-1a and has been submitted by Biogen Idec under the brand name of Plegridy[™]. Although this is an interferon, it is a different molecular compound than Avonex, and the two should not be considered as related to one another.

The Phase III clinical trial (ADVANCE) enrolled patients with relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS) to determine the safety and efficacy of BIIB017 (a "PEGylated" version of interferon beta-1a), as compared to placebo. PEGylation is a chemical modification of the interferon beta-1a molecule that allows it to be given subcutaneously (under the skin) every two or four weeks.

Of approximately 1,260 ADVANCE participants, up to 120 will also be enrolled

in a sub-study that will involve optical coherence tomography (OCT). This is a rapid, noninvasive, office-based imaging technique that allows objective evaluation of the thickness of the retinal axon (the nerve behind the eye) and nerve layers that atrophy (shrinking due to nerve cell death) in MS. Preliminary evidence supports the use of OCT as an objective tool to monitor the effectiveness of a therapy, and it is hoped that OCT may be used as an outcome measure in future studies.

The ADVANCE trial completed data collection in Fall 2012 and is expected to report results in early 2013. If this trial is positive, it suggests that a modified version of interferon beta-1a might allow for lessfrequently given injections than the other, currently approved interferons.

Betaseron® (interferon beta-1b)

Company: Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals

- Administered by subcutaneous injection every other day; dose is 250 mcg
- Approved for relapsing forms of MS in 1993, and more recently, for individuals with CIS

Betaseron reduces the number and severity of relapses (attacks) of MS. It also stabilizes the total lesion area as measured by MRI, compared to those without treatment.

Interferons appear to reduce inflammation by modulating a favorable balance between cells that increase inflammation and cells that decrease inflammation. They also prevent the transport of damaging lymphocytes into the brain. Lymphocytes are immunesystem cells produced to fight infection and disease.

Follow-up data after 21 years from Betaseron's initial Phase III trial of RRMS¹ show continued effectiveness and safety, as well as increased longevity. Following 21 years after the enrollment of this pivotal trial, Goodin and colleagues examined the effect of randomization to Betaseron

MSAA

Betaseron® (continued)

versus placebo in the group of 372 patients on mortality. They found that patients originally randomly assigned to Betaseron showed a 50-percent reduction in mortality over the 21-year period compared with placebo. The researchers conclude that the study provides evidence that early treatment with Betaseron (versus no treatment or delayed treatment) is associated with longer survival in patients with relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS). The results suggest that treatment was more effective when given early in the course of the disease, and a more favorable outcome can be seen for those patients who received the active drug in the very first trials when evaluated two decades later.

Improved effects of early treatment were also demonstrated in a group of 468 patients with CIS who were randomized to active treatment or placebo in the BENEFIT trial. In addition to the effect on preventing MS relapses and MRI lesions, by five years, the treated group showed greater improvement in scores on the Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test (PASAT), a measure of cognitive function.

The small START study of patients with RRMS was designed to identify immune markers of Betaseron therapy. Immune markers are tendencies or indicators that are observed across a population with a particular disease state. Immune markers in this study were compared in those patients with and without relapses during the first year of treatment.

Data from the study revealed that the treated group showed significant changes in the levels of several immune-system markers. A trend toward higher levels of the pro-inflammatory cytokine interleukin-17 (IL-17) was found in patients who relapsed. (Cytokines are small proteins that may stimulate or inhibit the function of other cells, and can be studied in the blood.) Higher brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) levels were observed in the relapse-free group. (BDNF is a protein found in the brain that helps to support nerves and their development.)

The data suggest that the mode of action of the beta interferons may involve a shift in cytokines in favor of an antiinflammatory/regulatory profile. Findings also suggest that elevated IL-17 may correlate with having relapses, while increased levels of another cytokine, BDNF, may be protective. These findings serve as a platform for further research of biomarkers predictive of responses to interferon therapy. More discussion on the potential role of biomarkers in the future of MS therapy is given later in this article.



Copaxone[®] (glatiramer acetate)

Company: Teva Neuroscience, Inc.

- Given through daily subcutaneous injections; dosage is 20 mg
- Approved for RRMS and CIS

Copaxone has been shown to significantly reduce the annual relapse rate in RRMS and reduce the risk of people with CIS for developing clinically definite MS (CDMS) at two years.

Copaxone is a synthetic polypeptide that mimics myelin basic protein, a key component of the myelin sheath (the protective covering of the nerves) that is damaged in MS. This therapy appears to decrease immune-system T cells that damage myelin, and may decrease inflammation by favorably shifting the balance among T-cell subtypes as well as by affecting several interleukins. (Interleukins are a type of cytokine, which are small proteins that may stimulate or inhibit the function of other cells.) Copaxone may also induce lymphocytes (immune-system cells produced to fight infection and disease) to produce factors that enhance the survival of cells that produce myelin, and may have a neuroprotective action that prevents damage to axons (nerve fibers).

An international European study called PreCISe was conducted to determine whether immediate treatment with Copaxone is better than delayed treatment in preventing conversion to clinically definite MS (CDMS). This study has shown that early treatment with Copaxone reduced the risk of converting to CDMS. The five-year extension data from this study was presented recently. The delay in the development of CDMS (resulting from early initiation of Copaxone) over placebo was maintained in the extension study with a CDMS risk reduction of 41 percent at five years. These results establish the importance of initiating treatment with Copaxone as early as possible to protect patients from the accumulation of disease activity.

Several years ago, the PROMISE study of 943 patients with primary-progressive MS (PPMS) failed to show that Copaxone was effective in this population of people with MS whose disease course does not have relapses and remissions. Another form of MS that begins as PPMS, but subsequently develops relapses, is termed progressiverelapsing MS (PRMS). This is considered the least common form of MS. There has been some debate as to whether it is justified to categorize PRMS separately from PPMS in terms of clinical course and prognosis.

A sub-study of the PROMISE data² evaluated differences in baseline characteristics and disability progression between patients with PPMS and PRMS.

MSAA

Copaxone[®] (continued)

Approximately 10 percent of the MS population is diagnosed with primaryprogressive MS (PPMS), where individuals experience a steady worsening of symptoms from the start, and do not have the periodic relapses and remissions found with relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS). A smaller number of individuals are diagnosed with progressive-relapsing MS (PRMS), which, similar to PPMS, steadily worsens from the onset, but symptom flare-ups (with or without remissions) are also present.

In this PROMISE sub-study, 42 of the 943 PPMS patients ultimately developed relapses and converted to PRMS. Although the numbers of PRMS patients analyzed in this study were small, the results suggested that disease progression is more rapid in this clinical sub-group. Since PRMS falls under the category of "relapsing forms" of MS, the use of disease-modifying therapies may be considered for individuals with this type of MS.

The FDA-approved dose for Copaxone is 20 mg per day, given subcutaneously. The GALA trial was a randomized, placebocontrolled trial of Copaxone dosed at 40mg given by subcutaneous injection three-times weekly versus placebo. Data from this trial were presented in Fall 2012.³ This three times weekly dosing strategy of Copaxone reduced relapse rates by 34 percent compared with placebo, and reduced new MRI lesions by 35 percent. This is comparable with the expected efficacy of Copaxone given at the standard dose of 20mg injected daily, and no new safety concerns were identified. The regimen of higher-dose Copaxone given three times weekly will likely be submitted for regulatory approval in 2013.

Effects of Interferons and Copaxone on Pregnancy

A German study looked at the effects of interferons and Copaxone on pregnancy and relapse rate. While these data support previous findings that the interferons and Copaxone do not present a major risk for birth defects, anyone who is pregnant or plans on becoming pregnant should discuss the risks and the benefits with her doctor before starting or continuing any disease-modifying therapy. Interferons in particular have been associated with spontaneous abortion (miscarriage) in animal models, and are not recommended for women who are pregnant or attempting to conceive. Please note that this study also reconfirmed the reduced MS-relapse rate seen during pregnancy and the increased relapse rate particularly in the first three months after birth. Exclusive breastfeeding seemed to have beneficial effects on postpartum relapse-rate reduction.

Combination Studies

Although in MS the standard of care has been to use one disease-modifying therapy at a time, many other conditions from high blood pressure to cancer are often treated with combinations of medicines to achieve the best outcome. Combining medications safely and effectively requires careful long-term studies, as drug interactions can be complex and difficult to predict.

Results were presented in 2012 for the CombiRx trial,⁴ designed to assess if the combination of Copaxone and Avonex is more effective at reducing relapse rates than either agent alone. This National Institutes of Health (NIH)funded trial recruited 1,008 patients, who were randomized to three study arms: combination of Copaxone and Avonex; Copaxone alone; and Avonex alone.

Although all participants were on one or both of the active treatments, the trial was placebo-controlled. This means that for individuals not given the combination of Copaxone and Avonex, they would receive either Copaxone and a placebo, or Avonex and a placebo. This allowed researchers to compare all three treatment groups equally.

Interestingly, the combination of Copaxone and Avonex was not statistically superior to either therapy alone at preventing relapses. It is worth noting that these are the lowest relapse rates ever recorded in a clinical trial of these available agents, with the Combi-Rx trial continuing to support the excellent efficacy of these medicines, particularly when utilized early in the disease course. In this trial, the treatment group that received Copaxone alone had the lowest number of relapses.

Interestingly, in the Combi-Rx trial⁵, the combination was found to be superior to individual drugs for new MRI lesion activity and the accumulation of total lesions. However, combination therapy failed to show an advantage on several other MRI outcomes.

A Phase II trial to study the effect of combining Copaxone and estriol (a naturally-occurring estrogen hormone) in RRMS on relapse rate is continuing. MS relapses are known to be significantly decreased during pregnancy. This trial is evaluating whether oral treatment with estriol, the major estrogen of pregnancy, induces a decrease in relapses in RRMS when used in combination with injectable Copaxone. If successful, this clinical trial could lay the groundwork for a larger, more definitive trial that might lead to a new oral treatment option for women with MS. A pilot trial was encouraging, and data from this study are expected in early 2014.

Extavia[®] (interferon beta-1b)

Company: Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp.

- Administered by subcutaneous injection every other day; dose is 250 mcg
- Approved for relapsing forms of MS and for individuals with CIS

Extavia is an interferon beta-1b that is biologically identical to Betaseron and made in an identical process, but marketed by a different company. It was released in early 2010.

Extavia shares all prescribing, side effect, and safety information with Betaseron. The two pharmaceutical companies manage the patient- support programs differently; prices and copayments may also vary. The latest information is available through the patient-support programs at these two companies. For more information, visit **mymsaa.org**, and select "About MS," and "Prescription Assistance Programs."

Rebif[®] (interferon beta-1a)

Company: EMD Serono, Inc. and Pfizer Inc

- Administered by subcutaneous injection three times weekly; dosage is 22 or 44 mcg (the 44 mcg dose appears significantly more effective than 22 mcg, and 44 mcg is the dose most often used in the United States)
- Approved for relapsing types of MS

Rebif reduces the frequency of relapses and slows the progression of disability. It has also been shown to reduce MRI lesion area and activity compared to placebo.

Interferons appear to reduce inflammation by modulating a favorable balance between cells that increase inflammation and cells that decrease inflammation. They also prevent the transport of damaging lymphocytes into the brain. Lymphocytes are immune-system cells produced to fight infection and disease.

Two Phase IV observational clinical trials have been performed to evaluate ease of use and convenience of new injector devices for Rebif. These include The Multicenter, Open-label, Single-use Autoinjector Convenience Study of a device called Rebidose [®],⁶ and a multi-center, observational, 96-week Phase IV study of the RebiSmart[™] self-injection system⁷. Rebidose[®] is a single-use simplified





autoinjector that provides ease of administration through a simple pushbutton injector. This device recently became available in the United States in the first-quarter of 2013. The RebiSmart[™] device, not yet approved in the United States, is an electronic autoinjector that stores several doses of Rebif at a time, and provides an interactive interface to help make injections more tolerable and reminders to stay on schedule with the medication. These two new injector devices may improve compliance with Rebif in people with relapsing forms of MS.

The recent REFLEX study⁸ of 517 patients compared the efficacy of two dosing frequencies (once or three times per week) of Rebif versus placebo. The effect studied is the conversion to definite MS in patients with clinically isolated syndrome (CIS), which is also referred to as a "first demyelinating event." The primary endpoint was the time to confirmed MS using the McDonald criteria, which is a set of guidelines used to confirm a diagnosis of MS. The secondary endpoint was time to clinically definite MS (CDMS). CDMS is confirmed only when a second neurologic event (indicative of MS) occurs in a patient who previously had one presenting symptom and was not yet diagnosed with MS.

Rebif, given at the standard dose of 44 mcg three times weekly, brought about a 51-percent reduction in the development of MS as compared with placebo. A 31-percent reduction in MS risk was seen with the once-weekly version of interferon beta-1a given subcutaneously, suggesting that the high-frequency interferon was more successful at prevention of disease activity in patients with CIS.

The Phase IV SKORE study continues to evaluate cognition and fatigue in people with RRMS treated with Rebif. Its primary outcome measure is the percentage of patients with stable or altered cognition status; secondary outcome measures include the proportion of relapse-free subjects and the proportion with defined EDSS changes. The study has 300 participants; it was initiated in June 2009 and is scheduled for completion in July 2013.

A Phase IV observational study is ongoing, but is no longer recruiting participants. It is evaluating the effectiveness of Rebif therapy on quality of life, using two health-related, quality-of-life measures.

Connect With MSAA!	
MS	blog.mymsaa.org
f	facebook.com/msassociation
E	@MSassociation
You	youtube.com/msaa
P	pinterest.com/msassociation

MSA

Novantrone[®] (mitoxantrone)

Company: EMD Serono, Inc.

- Given via intravenous infusion, the dose varies according to an individual's weight. It is administered once every three months for a maximum of two-to-three years. The total dose is limited to avoid risking damage to the heart.
- Approved for use in SPMS, PRMS, worsening RRMS, and people who are not responding favorably to standard therapies.

This drug appears to delay the time to a first-treated relapse, reduce the number of relapses, delay the time to disability progression, and decrease the number of new lesions that can be detected by MRI. It also appears to stabilize disease activity in some individuals with SPMS.

Novantrone is an immunosuppressant that has been used for many years to treat

cancer. It targets rapidly dividing cells, including those believed to be involved in MS. Side effects may include cardiac disease and leukemia; patients must be closely monitored to minimize these risks. The risk of leukemia and cardiotoxicity have dramatically reduced the use of Novantrone in the United States.

Tysabri® (natalizumab)

Company: Biogen Idec and Elan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

- Administered via intravenous infusion every four weeks in TOUCH program-authorized infusion centers; dose is 300 mg
- Approved for individuals with relapsing types of MS

This drug is generally recommended for patients who have not responded adequately to, or who cannot tolerate, another treatment for MS, although its use is evolving as described below.

This laboratory-produced monoclonal antibody acts against a molecule involved in the activation and function of lymphocytes (immune-system cells produced to fight infection and disease) and their migration into the central nervous system (CNS). Recent data suggest that it may also enhance remyelination and stabilize damage to the myelin sheath (the protective covering of the nerves).

A pivotal trial of Tysabri showed that this agent substantially reduces clinical and MRI activity in relapsing MS. Recent studies with



Tysabri indicate that the drug may achieve a sustained improvement in disability for individuals with relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS). At 18 months and up to 24 months of treatment with Tysabri, 87 percent of RRMS patients previously treated with Avonex showed stable or improved MRI scans. In this same group, disability scores as measured by the EDSS were stable or improved in 59 percent of patients.

Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy

Tysabri has been increasingly utilized as a disease-modifying therapy in RRMS, though clinical use of this drug has been limited from the outset by the risk of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML). PML is a viral brain infection caused by the JC virus, which, when not discovered and treated early, can typically lead to severe disability or death. (Many people are exposed to the JC virus [JCV], which typically remains dormant; however, it may become activated and infect the brain when one's immune system becomes weakened, a condition that may result from immunosuppressive drugs.)

Following a suspension of the drug in 2005 after two MS patients in Tysabri clinical trials developed PML, Tysabri was re-released in 2006. Once projected as a universal risk of approximately 1 in 1,000, based on Tysabri's pivotal trial data, new data presented and published in 2012 allow for the risk of Tysabri-associated PML to be estimated with increasing precision.

Three risk factors for Tysabri-associated PML have since been identified that allow for the classification of individuals by relative risk of PML.⁹ The most important risk factor for PML is the presence of antibodies to the JC virus. Roughly 50 to 60 percent of adults carry the JCV antibodies, which can now be determined by a simple blood test.

The JCV Antibody Program (STRATIFY-2) began in April 2010, enrolling more than 30,000 people with MS, and will continue for several more years. Testing for JCV antibodies was added to the FDA label for Tysabri in 2012. The JCV antibodies assay is available through Quest Labs, at no charge to patients if ordered with the "STRATIFY JCV" test form (available from Quest and Biogen Idec). People testing negative for JCV antibodies are at risk for becoming JCVpositive by approximately 2 to 3 percent per year. Current recommendations are to re-test JCV antibodies status every six months in JC-virus negative people on Tysabri therapy.

The second risk factor for the development of Tysabri-associated PML is the duration of Tysabri treatment. Risk for PML in JCV-positive people increases the longer Tysabri is used. The risk is small in the first year of treatment with Tysabri, likely less than 1 in 1,000. In the second year, this increases to approximately 1 in 500, and



Tysabri® (continued)

beyond two years on Tysabri, the risk increases further.

The third risk factor for the development of Tysabri-associated PML is prior treatment with immune-suppressing medications such as Cytoxan® (cyclophosphamide), Novantrone® (mitoxantrone), or other chemotherapy agents. Standard injectable MS diseasemodifying therapies (interferons and Copaxone, listed earlier) are not considered immune suppressants, and use of these prior to Tysabri does not increase the risk of PML.

As of December 2012, approximately 300 cases were reported of PML with Tysabri, while more than 100,000 people have been treated with this medication. The FDA labeling of Tysabri has been updated to further quantify the risk. The new labeling also notes the increased risk from previous use of immunosuppressive medications.

Although PML is always serious, it is no longer always fatal. Early recognition and the quick removal of Tysabri using a procedure called plasmapheresis have improved the outcomes. Early PML diagnosis and treatment increases the survival rate to 80 percent (although often with disability). With risk-assessment based on the three risk factors, and careful consideration regarding how long someone with MS (who is JCV-positive) chooses to remain on Tysabri, the hope is that new cases of PML can be drastically minimized in the future.

Current Study Information

A small Phase II clinical trial, Natalizumab Treatment of Progressive Multiple Sclerosis (NAPMS), was performed at Copenhagen University Hospital to study the safety and efficacy of Tysabri treatment of PPMS and secondary-progressive MS (SPMS).¹⁰ It enrolled 24 patients and showed a reduction in markers of inflammation in the spinal fluid, as well as evidence of protection of brain tissue on modern MRI measures. This proofof-concept study provides encouraging evidence that Tysabri may have beneficial effects in progressive forms of MS.

A large, randomized trial of Tysabri in SPMS called ASCEND¹¹ is ongoing, and will evaluate the effects on the accumulation of disability in approximately 800 people with SPMS. This trial is expected to conclude in early 2015.

A small study of 20 individuals with RRMS is evaluating the role of Tysabri on cognition and neurodegeneration (the breakdown or cell death of nerve cells). Its objective is to further establish the role of Tysabri in preventing neurodegeneration in MS and to establish new markers for such damage.

A small Phase IV study of 20 individuals who have been treated with Tysabri for 12



months, is gradually switching Tysabri treatment to Betaseron and comparing it to continuing treatment with Tysabri. The primary outcome measure is the time to a first relapse during the study; other measures include clinical and MRI findings, as well as patient-reported outcomes on quality of life and fatigue. Safety will be assessed by reports of adverse events.

A similar Phase IV trial, The Randomized Treatment Interruption of Natalizumab (RESTORE)¹² study, evaluated the impact of stopping Tysabri and switching to other disease-modifying therapies. This study enrolled 175 patients and found a high rate of recurrence of MS disease activity, both in terms of relapses and new lesions on MRI, beginning about three months after Tysabri was stopped. This study provides important information, especially for people on Tysabri who are weighing the risks and benefits of stopping this drug, particularly in light of the risk of PML. An informed, individualized treatment decision regarding duration of Tysabri therapy should be made in a collaborative manner between patients and their neurologists or MS specialists.

Other studies are exploring the effects of Tysabri on ambulation (walking and mobility), cognition, fatigue, depression, bladder function, sexual function, disability, and health-related quality of life. Some of these studies are completed with generally favorable results. One study indicated that Tysabri-treated patients had fewer MSrelated hospitalizations and emergencyroom visits over one year of treatment, suggesting that it may reduce the economic burden of MS.

FDA-APPROVED MEDICATIONS: ADMINISTERED ORALLY

Aubagio® (teriflunomide)

Company: Genzyme and Sanofi

- Oral medication (tablet form) taken daily; two doses approved: 7mg and 14 mg
- Approved for relapsing forms of MS

Aubagio (teriflunomide), contains the same active ingredient as leflunomide, which has been used in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis since 1998. This drug is an immunomodulator that affects the production of T and B cells. It inhibits rapidly dividing cells, including activated T cells, which are thought to drive the disease process in MS. Unlike some drugs that modulate the immune system, Aubagio is

MSAA

Aubagio® (continued)

thought to leave the immune system's response to infection intact, so it may still fight against infection while a patient is taking this drug. It may also inhibit nerve degeneration by reducing the production of free radicals. (Free radicals are unstable molecules [or atoms] produced in the body that can damage cells in the brain and other organs.)

Aubagio was the second oral medication to be FDA approved (in October 2012) for relapsing forms of MS. Both a 7-mg and 14mg daily dose were approved, but only one dose is prescribed to a patient (7 mg <u>or</u> 14 mg). The 14-mg dose proved to be more effective in trials, as discussed below.

Patients taking Aubagio are advised to be checked for exposure to tuberculosis (TB) prior to starting this medication, as several cases of TB occurred in the clinical trials. In addition, liver function tests must be performed monthly for the first six months while on Aubagio, and periodically thereafter. Hair loss is another potential side effect of Aubagio, though this can be transient.

Aubagio is considered Pregnancy Category X, and both men and women of child-bearing potential should use effective birth control while taking Aubagio. As the drug can remain in the body for up to two years, this is an important consideration to plan in advance.

Prior to approval, Aubagio successfully completed several large clinical trials. The TEMSO trial for RRMS compared 7 mg and 14 mg of Aubagio in 1,088 individuals. Both doses significantly reduced the annualized relapse rate by approximately 31 percent. The 14-mg dose also reduced the risk of sustained disability progression by 29.8 percent relative to placebo. Aubagio (7-mg dose) resulted in a 39.4-percent reduction in brain lesion volume on MRI compared with placebo; the 14-mg dose resulted in a 67.4-percent reduction. The number of gadolinium-enhancing lesions were also reduced with both doses compared with placebo, and a trend toward a greater effect was observed with the higher dose. Importantly, no difference in the rate of serious infection, opportunistic infection, or malignancy was found between patients taking Aubagio and those on placebo.

A Phase III extension study TEMSO is now underway. Patients who completed the original study and who received the drug are being maintained on the same dose; those who received placebo are randomized to Aubagio 7 mg or 14 mg. The study remains double-blinded, and will evaluate long-term safety and efficacy of the drug.

Results of the TOWER study of 1,169 individuals with RRMS were reported in the fall of 2012.¹³ This study also evaluated the



7-mg and 14-mg doses of Aubagio versus placebo. Its primary endpoint was the annualized relapse rate (ARR); the secondary endpoint was time to disability progression. The results showed a 36.3percent reduction in ARR with Aubagio, 14 mg, versus placebo. There were also a significant 37-percent risk reduction in the number of patients who were relapsefree during the trial and a 31.5-percent reduction in the risk for 12-week sustained accumulation of disability vs placebo. Results for the 7-mg dose showed a significant but smaller reduction in relapse rate but not in sustained accumulation of disability.

A third Phase III study called TENERE¹⁴ compared two oral doses of Aubagio (7 mg and 14 mg once daily) to standard-dose treatment with Rebif (interferon beta-1a). The primary endpoint was time to the first occurrence of confirmed relapse or permanent treatment discontinuation for any reason, whichever came first. In the study, 48.6 percent of patients receiving the 7-mg dose of Aubagio and 37.8 percent of those on the 14-mg dose relapsed or discontinued treatment over the course of the trial, compared to 42.3 percent of patients on Rebif. However, the rate of permanent treatment discontinuation was lower with Aubagio (18.3 and 19.8 percent) than in the Rebif group (28.8 percent).

The secondary endpoint was the difference in annualized relapse rate (ARR). This was not statistically significantly for the 14-mg dose of Aubagio compared with Rebif (0.259 vs. 0.216, respectively). At Week 48, treatment satisfaction was higher for both Aubagio doses compared with Rebif.

The ongoing Phase III TOPIC study of 780 individuals with clinically isolated syndrome (CIS) is fully enrolled, and will run until June 2013. This study also compares 7mg and 14-mg doses of Aubagio versus placebo. The study's primary endpoint is the time to conversion to clinically-definite MS (CDMS) after CIS. Several secondary endpoints include: MRI findings; relapse rate; disability; and progression. The drug's safety will also be further evaluated.

Gilenya[®] (fingolimod, FTY720)

Company: Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp.

- Oral medication; 0.5 mg capsule taken once daily
- Approved for relapsing forms of MS

Gilenya (pronounced as "Jil-EN-ee-ah") is the first in a new class of immunomodulatory drugs, called "S1P-receptor modulators." It is similar in structure to a naturally occurring

MSAA

Gilenya[®] (continued)

component of cell-surface receptors on white blood cells. (White blood cells are produced by the immune system to fight infection and disease.) Gilenya blocks potentially damaging T cells from leaving lymph nodes, lowering their number in the blood and tissues. It may reduce damage to the central nervous system (CNS) and enhance the repair of damaged nerves within the brain and spinal cord. Study data suggest that Gilenya may have neuroprotective effects.

Some adverse events with Gilenya include: an initial reduction in heart rate; infrequent changes in the conduction of electricity in the heart (atrioventricular [AV] block); macular edema (a condition that can affect vision, caused by swelling behind the eye); and infections, including reactivation of herpes infections. Following the death of a patient within 24 hours after taking a first dose of Gilenya in November 2011, the FDA conducted an investigation, and in April 2012, updated the prescribing guidelines for Gilenya. Other deaths from cardiac causes have been reported from among the many thousands of people in several countries who have been treated with this medication. Contraindications now include a history or presence of cardiac conditions (such as myocardial infarction or stroke in the previous six months, second-and thirddegree atrioventricular block, or other

serious cardiac rhythm disturbances) or in patients treated with certain antiarrhythmic drugs.

The updated prescribing information recommends that all patients starting treatment should undergo electrocardiography immediately before the first dose and at the end of the initial sixhour observation period, along with hourly measurement of blood pressure and heart rate. Continuous cardiac monitoring must be performed in some cases. This "First Dose Observation" is part of a set of monitoring requirements that need to be completed when Gilenya is prescribed.

Study Information

The FREEDOMS Phase III study of Gilenya compared with placebo showed the drug to be safe and well tolerated. Gilenya reduced the risk of confirmed disability progression by 30 to 32 percent versus placebo, and significantly increased the proportion of patients who were diseasefree over two years. It also resulted in a 30-percent reduction of brain-volume loss as compared with placebo at one and two years, suggesting a possible direct neuroprotective effect. A second Phase III study, FREEDOMS II, evaluated safety, tolerability, and efficacy of Gilenya compared with placebo, and reported similar results.

Two deaths from herpes virus infections



occurred in the FREEDOMS trials; both of these individuals received a higher dose of fingolimod that is not FDA-approved or prescribed. No deaths from infections were reported in those individuals treated with the FDA-approved lower dose, which is the only dose available for MS patients.

The TRANSFORMS Phase III trial was a 12-month study of the efficacy of Gilenya as compared to weekly intramuscular injections of Avonex in individuals with RRMS. In summary, Gilenya was more effective in reducing the annual relapse rate, resulted in less deterioration in the ability to independently perform daily activities, was associated with a lower rate of brain atrophy, and showed a greater effect on reducing MRI measures of lesion activity. No difference in progression of disability was demonstrated in this 12-month study.

In both the FREEDOMS and TRANSFORMS studies, Gilenya significantly reduced the frequency of severe relapses and those that required intervention (steroids or hospitalization), and reduced the number of relapses with no or partial recovery. In the TRANSFORMS trial, Gilenya also consistently reduced the annualized relapse rate in patients with highly active MS as compared to Avonex.

The six-month Phase IV EPOC study also concluded in 2012. This study was designed to evaluate: patient-reported outcomes; physician assessment of a change; as well as safety and tolerability in patients with relapsing MS, who had also been previously treated with other DMTs and are now receiving Gilenya. These outcomes will be compared to those who continue to receive one of the other approved DMTs (and are not taking Gilenya). The study enrolled approximately 1,000 participants and concluded in Fall 2012. Study results are anticipated in 2013.

Although Gilenya was approved for RRMS in 2010, several large clinical trials of this medication are still ongoing. The 36month INFORMS study in 951 individuals will evaluate the effect of Gilenya relative to placebo on delaying the time to sustained disability progression in patients with PPMS. It will also evaluate safety, tolerability, and the effects on MRI parameters. As there is presently no medicine FDA-approved for PPMS, this is an important study for the field. The INFORMS trial is fully enrolled, and is expected to be completed in the fall of 2014.

Another ongoing Gilenya clinical trial is a Study Evaluating Safety and Efficacy of Two Doses of Fingolimod Versus Copaxone.¹⁵ This 12-month trial will compare the marketed dose of Gilenya with one-half this dose, using Copaxone as a comparison, on annual MS relapses and several MRI measures of disease. The goal of this study, which was required by the FDA, is to assess if a lower dose of this medication may be equally effective at preventing relapses. This study is expected to run through 2014.

MSAA

Tecfidera[™] (dimethyl fumarate)

Company: Biogen Idec

- Oral medication taken daily twice or three times daily in clinical trials
- Tecfidera is being studied in relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS)

Tecfidera[™] (dimethyl fumarate or DMF, formerly known as BG-12) is an oral fumaric acid ester, related to a medication called Fumaderm[®] which was previously shown to be effective in patients with psoriasis, and used for this indication in Germany for many vears. The mechanism of action in MS is still under investigation, however, Tecfidera may have a distinct dual mechanism of action. First, it is an immunomodulator with antiinflammatory properties. This induces anti-inflammatory cytokines (small proteins that may stimulate or inhibit the function of other cells) and appears to suppress damaging macrophage cell activity. Second, Tecfidera may also have neuroprotective effects. This is due to its activation of a substance that is critical for resistance to cellular damage (from what is termed "oxidative stress") as well as for normal immune function. Tecfidera is initially being studied in RRMS.

Tecfidera has had positive outcomes in two Phase III trials and is under FDA review for approval, likely in the first half of 2013. The Phase III DEFINE study, which compared two doses of Tecfidera against placebo in 1,200 patients, was completed in February 2011. Results from the DEFINE trial were reported in a platform presentation¹⁶ at the 2011 Joint European and Americas Committees for Treatment and Research in Multiple Sclerosis (ECTRIMS/ACTRIMS]. DEFINE was a multicenter, double-blind trial of Tecfidera 240 mg twice or three times daily versus placebo for two years. The study met its primary endpoint with a 49 to 50percent reduction in the proportion of patients who relapsed during the study period. Secondary clinical endpoints included annualized relapse rate (ARR) and confirmed disability progression. Tecfidera reduced disability progression by 34 to 38 percent.

The Phase III CONFIRM study, completed in September 2011, tested two dose levels against Copaxone and placebo in 1,232 patients. The primary measure was a reduction in relapse rate. Results of the CONFIRM trial were presented at the AAN Annual Meeting in 2012¹⁷. CONFIRM was also a multicenter, double-blind trial comparing the same two doses of Tecfidera with placebo and daily subcutaneous injection of Copaxone for two years. The study met its primary endpoint with reduction in relapse rates of 44 to 51 percent for Tecfidera compared to placebo while Copaxone reduced the relapse rate by 29



percent compared to placebo. There was no statistically significant difference in the remaining clinical endpoint of confirmed disability progression, possibly due to the unexpectedly low rate of progression in the placebo group.

The incidence of serious adverse events and events leading to drug discontinuation was similar in all groups (Tecfidera, Copaxone, and placebo groups) in both trials. Flushing and gastrointestinal adverse effects (nausea, abdominal pain, and diarrhea) were more common with Tecfidera than with placebo, and there were neither opportunistic infections nor treatmentrelated deaths in either Phase III trial. The flushing and gastrointestinal symptoms seem to diminish with prolonged use of Tecfidera, decreasing in frequency after the first month to two months on this medication.

A continuation study of 1,736 patients who participated in the DEFINE and CONFIRM studies called ENDORSE is evaluating the long-term safety profile of Tecfidera as well as its long-term efficacy on clinical outcomes, MRI scans, and quality-oflife. The study will continue through 2013, although initial data were presented in Fall 2012¹⁸. No new safety concerns were identified, and no deaths were thought to be related to the medication. There were 14 malignancies observed in this patient population, though it was not apparent that these were directly caused by Tecfidera. This set of data will be reviewed by the FDA as part of their decision process to approve the drug; a decision is expected in spring of 2013.

The Phase II EXPLORE trial is evaluating oral Tecfidera as a combination therapy with an injectable medication. It will determine the safety and tolerability of Tecfidera when administered in combination with interferons or Copaxone to 100 people (who continue to have evidence of disease activity despite receiving consistent treatment for at least one year). Efficacy endpoints (determining the effectiveness) will also be assessed in a subset of participants. The study concluded in early 2012 and study results are expected in 2013.

MSAA's Shared-Management Programs assist both patients and healthcare providers in working together to achieve the best health outcomes.

My MS Resource Locator: an MS-specific, online database of resources

My MS Manager[™]: a mobile-phone application to track disease activity and assist individuals with their treatment plan

MSAA's S.E.A.R.C.H.[™] Program: tools for learning about approved long-term treatments

For information about all MSAA programs, please visit mymsaa.org or call (800) 532-7667.

MSAA

Laquinimod

Company: Teva Neuroscience, Inc. and Active Biotech

- Oral medication; 0.6 mg taken once daily; dosing is still under investigation
- Laquinimod is being studied in RRMS

Although its exact mechanisms of action are unknown, laquinimod is an immunomodulator, apparently through its effects on cvtokines and interleukins (immune-system signaling chemicals). It enhances T-regulatory cell activity, which reduces Th1-inflammatory T-cell activity. It also appears to reduce white blood cell penetration of the central nervous system (CNS). In addition to its immunomodulatory actions, laquinimod increases levels of the brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), possibly contributing to neuroprotection (protecting the nerves and myelin from damage) in MS patients. BDNF is a protein found in the brain that helps to support nerves and their development.

The Phase III ALLEGRO study of 1,106 individuals with RRMS showed that, compared to placebo, laquinimod reduced the annualized relapse rate by 23 percent and the progression of disability by 36 percent. It also was effective on several MRI outcomes, including a reduction in brain atrophy by 33 percent.

The BRAVO Phase III trial was another global, 24-month, double-blind study with 1,300 participants designed to evaluate laquinimod's efficacy, safety, and tolerability versus placebo. In August 2011, the sponsors announced that the study had failed to achieve its primary goal of reducing the annualized relapse rate, although there was a trend in that direction if the data are adjusted for differences in MRI characteristics at the start of the study.

Because the effect of laquinimod on relapses was more modest than has been seen with other disease-modifying therapies for RRMS, the drug was not considered for approval in the United States in 2012. However, this drug may have more of an effect on disability than on relapses, and a new trial looking primarily at laquinimod's disability-preventing impact was designed in 2012. This 24-month trial, The Efficacy and Safety and Tolerability of Laguinimod in Subjects With Relapsing Remitting Multiple Sclerosis (CONCERTO¹⁹), will compare two doses of laquinimod (including a 1.2-mg dose, higher than that which was tested in prior Phase III studies) with placebo looking at confirmed disease progression as the primary outcome. This is the first modern RRMS trial to prioritize prevention of disability over prevention of relapses. The trial will begin enrollment of 1,800 patients in 2013, and is expected to run into 2018.



Cladribine

Company: Merck Serono, Inc.

- Given orally, as one or two courses per year, depending on the study regimen
- Cladribine was being studied in RRMS

Cladribine predominantly affects peripheral blood lymphocytes (immunesystem cells produced to fight infection and disease), with relative preservation of other cell types and components. It causes a preferential and sustained depletion of certain T cells in the immune system, as well as a decrease in B cells. (T and B cells are two types of lymphocytes.) Cladribine also seems to directly influence the overall T-cell response, which is believed to play a major role in the MS process.

The two-year Phase III CLARITY trial of two levels of cladribine versus placebo involved 1,326 patients with RRMS. Each course consisted of once-daily administration for four-to-five consecutive days, and study patients took cladribine for a total of eight-to-20 days of treatment during the year. It met its primary endpoint, showing 55-to-58-percent reductions in annualized relapse rates and 31-to-33percent reductions in disability progression, as well as a substantial reduction in lesion burden.

The ONWARD Phase II study of 200 individuals who have experienced at least one relapse while taking Rebif combines oral cladribine with Rebif. This study will determine whether the combination is more effective than Rebif alone.

The Phase III ORACLE MS study was designed to assess whether cladribine can delay the time to a second clinical demyelinating attack in 600 individuals who have had a first clinical demyelinating event, also referred to as clinically isolated syndrome (CIS).

The FDA gave Fast Track approval status to cladribine in July 2006. In March 2011, after an increase in malignancies was observed in patients in the cladribine clinical trials, the FDA announced that it would not approve oral cladribine for MS without more safety information. In June 2011, Merck Serono announced that they will not currently pursue global approval for Cladribine Tablets for the treatment of RRMS, but would continue existing clinical trials. The company may consider a reapplication if safety concerns are lessened. The experience with cladribine, while a setback for MS therapy, provides an important lesson in medication development – and a reminder that risks are as important as benefits in developing medications, and in offering these medications to patients.

MSAA

EXPERIMENTAL MEDICATIONS: MONOCLONAL ANTIBODY MEDICATIONS

About Monoclonal Antibodies

Monoclonal antibodies are derived from cells that are identical (cloned from a single cell and then replicated). They are produced from animal tissue, most commonly laboratory mice. Humanized monoclonal antibodies are antibodies from non-human species whose protein sequences have been modified to increase their similarity to antibodies produced naturally in humans. Monoclonal antibodies are an important type of medication, as they can be specifically targeted to perform a particular action, which is desirable when trying to impact a complex structure like the immune system. The name of all monoclonal antibodies ends with "mab," including natalizumab (Tysabri), which is already approved for MS. Several other monoclonal antibodies have shown promise in MS, and these are reviewed below.

Lemtrada[®] (alemtuzumab, formerly Campath)

Companies: Genzyme/Sanofi, and Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals

- Administered in one course yearly by intravenous infusion over three-to-five consecutive days
- Lemtrada is being studied in RRMS

Lemtrada is a humanized monoclonal antibody that targets a protein present on the surface of mature lymphocytes, and results in a rapid depletion/suppression of T and B cells. This agent has been approved for the treatment of B-cell leukemia, although as of 2012 it is being developed solely for MS.

A Phase II study of 334 individuals with early, active RRMS compared Lemtrada to high-dose Rebif (44 mcg) in RRMS. In this three-year safety and efficacy trial, Lemtrada was more effective than Rebif at reducing the relapse rate and the risk for six-month sustained accumulation of disability in patients with RRMS. This trial is notable as Lemtrada-treated patients had the lowest relapse rate ever reported for an MS drug.

In a multi-year extension study of the 334 individuals who participated in the original Phase II study, Lemtrada yielded a 73-percent reduction in risk for sustained accumulation of disability, while 77 percent of Lemtrada-treated patients were relapsefree. A five-year assessment showed that 87 percent were free of sustained disability accumulation, 72 percent were relapse-free, and 65 percent were free of clinical-disease activity. These data indicate that Lemtrada's treatment effect is durable; it halts clinicaldisease activity in a significant proportion of RRMS patients through five years – even though many of those patients did not



require subsequent re-treatment with the drug.

This drug was then granted Fast Track status by the FDA in June 2010. Lemtrada has since successfully completed two Phase III trials: CARE-MS I and II.

The CARE-MS I study²⁰ compared the clinical and MRI results of treatment with Lemtrada, to treatment with subcutaneous Rebif (interferon beta-1a) in patients with RRMS who had not received prior treatment with any disease-modifying therapies. Rebif was given according to the regular dosing of three times per week, while Lemtrada was given intravenously for five days, and then a second time one year later for three days. CARE-MS I was a multicenter international trial. Data were collected for each patient during a two-year period from the time of the first infusion.

The ARR (annual relapse rate) was 0.18 (or slightly less than one relapse every five years) for Lemtrada-treated patients. This was as compared with 0.39 (or slightly less than one relapse every two-and-a-half years) for Rebif-treated patients. This means that Lemtrada reduced the ARR by 55 percent compared to Rebif. Supportive analyses of the risk of severe relapses found that the risk was lower with Lemtrada. Individuals taking Lemtrada had a 59-percent reduction in severe relapses requiring steroid treatment. These clinical data were supported by MRI outcomes. Through year two, fewer Lemtrada patients developed new gadolinium-enhancing lesions (areas of active inflammation and myelin damage in the brain) than Rebif-treated patients (15.2 percent versus 27.2 percent).

CARE-MS II²¹ is the third study to compare Lemtrada with Rebif. It was designed to evaluate the effect of Lemtrada on relapse and disability as compared to Rebif in people with RRMS who had relapsed on prior therapy – people for whom a firstline injectible medication was insufficient. The study design was otherwise the same as that in CARE-MS I. The co-primary efficacy endpoints were the ARR and time to sixmonth sustained accumulation of disability as measured by the Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS).

Relapse data showed that 65 percent of patients treated with Lemtrada were relapse-free at two years, as compared to 47 percent with Rebif. These data also showed a 49-percent reduction in relapse rate as compared to Rebif. The group treated with Lemtrada showed a decrease in the mean disability score, versus a slight worsening of disability in those treated with Rebif. Approximately 29 percent of patients treated with Lemtrada experienced a sixmonth sustained *improvement* in disability, as compared to 13 percent with Rebif.

Several safety concerns have been raised by the above studies, including infusion reactions to the medication, and an increased risk of infection and emergent autoimmune diseases in patients treated

MSAA

Lemtrada[®] (continued)

with Lemtrada. All three studies showed a modest increase in the incidence of infections, though no opportunistic infections occurred. No treatment-related fatalities were reported in the Phase III studies. In the CARE-MS I and II studies respectively, approximately 18 percent and 16 percent of Lemtrada patients developed an autoimmune thyroid disorder, and 0.8 percent and 1 percent developed a potentially severe bleeding disorder called immune thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP). A program to monitor for the development of thyroid issues and immune thrombocytopenia was successful in early detection of these known complications from Lemtrada.

With the side effects and adverse events in mind, the significant reduction in relapses with Lemtrada compared with high-dose, high-frequency Rebif suggest that there is potential for Lemtrada to be a meaningful addition to the presently available treatment options for RRMS. In June 2012, the parent company announced that Lemtrada had been submitted to both the FDA and the European Medicines Agency (EMA) for approval; a decision is expected in 2013.

Daclizumab (also known as Zenapax®)

Companies: Biogen Idec and Abbott Laboratories

- Administered via intravenous infusion every four weeks; also studied when given in subcutaneous injections
- Daclizumab is being studied in both RRMS and secondary-progressive MS (SPMS)

Daclizumab is a genetically engineered monoclonal antibody that binds to CD25, a receptor on T cells that is thought to become activated in response to MS. Daclizumab is believed to work by selectively targeting these activated T cells without causing general T-cell depletion. It is approved by the FDA for use in rheumatoid arthritis and other autoimmune diseases. Participants in the Phase II CHOICE study had either RRMS or SPMS, with worsening disease activity while taking one of the approved interferon therapies. The study showed that daclizumab was well tolerated when added to an interferon. A statistically-significant 72-percent reduction in the frequency of gadolinium-enhancing MRI lesions was seen in the high-dose group



(300 mg every four weeks).

The Phase IIb SELECT trial, with 600 participants who have RRMS, reported successful outcomes in Fall 2011. SELECT was a one-year study of extended treatment with daclizumab, administered by subcutaneous injection. The study included three treatment arms, with two dose levels (at 150 mg and 300 mg) and a placebo group.

Results of the SELECT trial announced in August 2011 indicated that the annualized relapse rate was decreased by 54 percent in the 150-mg-dose group and by 50 percent in the 300-mg-dose group. It also met its secondary endpoints: the number of new gadolinium-enhancing lesions was reduced by 69 percent and 78 percent; the number of new or newly enlarging T2 hyperintense lesions was reduced by 70 percent and 79 percent; and the proportion of patients who relapsed was reduced by 50 percent and 51 percent; all for the low- and high-dose groups respectively. Sustained disability progression at one year was reduced by 57 percent with the lower dose and 43 percent with the higher dose.

Participants who completed this trial were enrolled in an extended trial called SELECTION to evaluate long-term safety and efficacy. One-year results of the SELECTION trial were presented²² at the ECTRIMS meeting in the fall of 2012. Patients who began treatment with daclizumab high yield process (DAC HYP) in the extension trial had a 59-percent reduction in annualized relapse rate compared to the year prior, while patients who continued on DAC HYP saw their low relapse rate from the prior year maintained. DAC HYP is administered subcutaneously once every four weeks, rather than via intravenous infusion every four weeks.

DAC HYP is being further studied in the DECIDE trial²³, a Phase III study with 1,500 participants that will compare daclizumab DAC HYP to Avonex. DAC HYP will be administered subcutaneously once every four weeks for 96 to 144 weeks in a dose of 150 mg as compared to a weekly 30-mcg intramuscular injection of Avonex. The study began in March 2010, is fully enrolled, and is scheduled for completion in the spring of 2014. Outcome measures include relapse rate, functional decline and disability progression, as well as quality of life.

Daclizumab appears to be generally well-tolerated. Reported side effects in the Phase II studies include infections and abnormal liver function tests, diarrhea or constipation, and swelling of the extremities. One death in a daclizumabtreated patient was due to complications of a muscle abscess, and a second death was due to autoimmune liver inflammation. The safety profile of this medication will be closely followed in the ongoing Phase III trial.

MSAA

Rituxan[®] (rituximab)

Companies: Genentech and Biogen Idec.

- Administered via intravenous infusion
 - Rituxan is being studied in both RRMS and SPMS

Rituxan is a monoclonal antibody (CD20, from mouse tissue) that binds to a receptor on the surface of B cells. These cells are then destroyed and their levels in the circulation are decreased. It is approved for use in the treatment of lymphomas, leukemias, and autoimmune disorders.

A Phase II trial, completed in 2006, examined the effect of a single course of Rituxan treatment in RRMS, with two infusions of 1,000 mg each, administered two weeks apart. At 24 and 48 weeks, the number of active lesions was reduced by 91 percent and relapses were reduced by 58 percent.

The drug was also tested in a study of 30 people with RRMS who had experienced continued clinical activity despite treatment with one of the approved disease-modifying therapies. Participants received two doses of Rituxan, two weeks apart, while continuing to take their usual medication. Results showed gadolinium-enhancing lesions were reduced after treatment with Rituxan: 74 percent of post-treatment MRI scans were free of gadolinium-enhancing activity as compared with 26 percent free of gadolinium-enhancing activity at baseline. There was an 88-percent reduction in the average number of these lesions. A Phase I/II double-blind study of 80 people with low-inflammatory SPMS, sponsored by the National Institute of Neurologic Diseases and Stroke, is testing Rituximab versus placebo (RIVITaLISe). The study is recruiting participants²⁴ and is expected to run until 2016. The primary outcome measure will be the progression of brain atrophy after two years of treatment, unless predetermined analysis shows that the secondary outcome measures of MRI and clinical assessment are more reliable measures of effectiveness than brain atrophy.

Serious adverse events have been reported in Rituxan-treated patients with other diseases, including Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy (PML), the same viral infection of the brain that has been seen with Tysabri. While no PML has been diagnosed in MS patients taking Rituxan, the numbers of MS patients treated with Rituxan is relatively small to date.

Rituxan is not likely to be further developed for FDA approval. However, next-generation anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies have been developed to build on the encouraging data from Rituxan's MS studies, including ocrelizumab, as discussed in the following entry.



Ocrelizumab

Companies: Genentech and Roche Pharma AG

Administered via intravenous infusion

Ocrelizumab is being studied in RRMS and in primary-progressive MS (PPMS)

Like Rituxan, this drug is an anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody. It has the potential advantage of being a more humanized antibody than Rituxan. As noted in the introduction to this section, humanized monoclonal antibodies are antibodies from non-human species whose protein sequences have been modified to increase their similarity to antibodies produced naturally in humans. "More humanized" refers to a protein sequence that is more similar to antibodies produced in humans, compared to another humanized monoclonal antibody (Rituxan in this instance).

In a Phase II study of ocrelizumab²⁵ in 220 patients with RRMS, reductions in the total number of brain lesions detected by MRI scans (the primary endpoint of the study) were highly significant at 96 percent for 2,000-mg ocrelizumab and 89 percent for 600 mg compared to placebo. The annualized relapse rate was significantly lower versus placebo at week 24, with a reduction of 73 percent for ocrelizumab 2,000 mg, and 80 percent for ocrelizumab 600 mg. Ocrelizumab's effectiveness was maintained through week 72 (about two weeks less than one year and five months); the proportion of relapse-free patients at week 72 was 84 percent for the 600-mg group, and 82 percent for the 2,000-mg ocrelizumab group.

Infusion-related symptoms, which were generally mild to moderate, were seen in the ocrelizumab-treated groups. The number of serious adverse events was small and similar among the groups. However, one patient in the ocrelizumab 2,000-mg group died of a systemic inflammatory response of unknown etiology (e.g., the reason why this response occurred is not known). Although Phase III trials in rheumatoid arthritis had significant rates of serious and opportunistic infections, none were identified in this trial of 220 MS patients. Please note that the number of MS patients studied in this Phase II trial is small in comparison to the number of rheumatoid arthritis patients studied in the larger Phase III studies that have already been completed.

Several Phase III trials of ocrelizumab are now underway. OPERA I²⁶ and II²⁷ are comparing ocrelizumab (600 mg, as above) to Rebif (44 mcg of interferon beta-1a given via subcutaneous injection three times per week) in RRMS and plan to enroll approximately 800 patients in each study. These trials are anticipated to run through mid-2015. The primary outcome measure is annualized relapse rate; secondary

MSAA

Ocrelizumab (continued)

measures include time to onset of sustained disability progression, the proportion of relapse-free patients, MRI measures of disease activity, and change in Multiple Sclerosis Functional Composite (MSFC) Scale.

In addition, because subgroup analysis of Rituxan in the OLYMPUS study suggested a benefit to younger patients and those with gadolinium-enhancing lesions, ocrelizumab is also being studied in primary-progressive MS (PPMS). The Phase III ORATORIO²⁸ safety and efficacy study of ocrelizumab in 630 patients with PPMS is currently recruiting participants. Patients will receive either ocrelizumab (300 mg given intravenously in two infusions separated by 14 days in each treatment cycle) or placebo. The study is scheduled to run through late 2017. The primary outcome measure is time to onset of sustained disability progression (for at least 12 weeks); secondary outcome measures include the time to sustained disability progression (for at least 24 weeks), change in the total volume of T2 lesions (as seen on MRI), as well as safety, tolerability, and the incidence of adverse events.

Ofatumumab (also known as Arzerra®)

Companies: GlaxoSmithKline and Genmab

- Administered via intravenous infusion and will also be studied via subcutaneous injection
- Ofatumumab is being studied in RRMS

Like Rituxan and ocrelizumab, this drug is an anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody. It has the potential advantage of being a human monoclonal antibody (versus antibodies from non-human species that have been modified),

Ofatumumab has a unique target on the CD20 molecule and is approved for certain forms of leukemia. Genmab announced positive interim results for a Phase II safety and pharmacokinetics (how the body processes the drug) study of ofatumumab in 2010. This study had 38 patients who were randomized to ofatumumab or placebo in a cross-over design. The number of gadolinium-enhancing lesions and new or enlarging T2 lesions was statistically significantly less in patients treated with ofatumumab compared to placebo.

Another Phase II study, MIRROR²⁹, will compare several doses of ofatumumab administered subcutaneously to placebo in RRMS and plans to enroll approximately 200 patients. This study is expected to run through 2015.

New S1P Receptor Modulators

Data were presented in 2012 on two new investigational oral agents now in Phase II trials that have a mechanism similar to that of Gilenya (fingolimod). Both drugs were well tolerated and reduced lesions related to RRMS. It is hoped that these agents, ONO-4641 and siponimod (BAF312), will maintain or improve on the efficacy and safety of Gilenya. However, both were still associated with cardiovascular effects, such as bradycardia (slowed heart rate).

Siponimod (BAF312)

Data from a Phase II dose-finding study of siponimod in patients with RRMS were also reported in 2012. Siponimod has a relatively short half-life compared to Gilenya, which means that the drug does not stay in the body as long. Researchers hope that this will minimize cardiac issues.

The trial had a complex design whose goal was to determine the most appropriate dosing regimen. One group of 188 patients received placebo or once-daily siponimod in doses of 10 mg, 2 mg, or 0.5 mg for six months. A second group of 109 patients were given one of two additional intermediate doses of 1.25 mg or 0.25 mg for three months.

At six months, the proportion of relapsefree patients as compared to placebo was 84 percent for the 10-mg group, 92 percent for the 2-mg group, and 77 percent for the 0.5mg group. In the placebo group, 72 percent were relapse-free. After six months, the ARR (relapse rate) was lower with the three higher doses than the two lower doses and placebo. Additionally, MRI findings indicated that treatment with siponimod was associated with a reduction in active lesions on MRI. The 2-mg dose reached statistical significance versus placebo, with a reduction in active lesions of approximately 80 percent.

A Phase III trial of siponimod in SPMS (the EXPAND trial)³⁰ is planned to run from 2013 through 2016, although it is not yet recruiting.

ONO-4641

In the Phase II DreaMS trial, 407 patients with RRMS were randomly assigned to placebo or one of three different doses of ONO-4641 (0.05 mg, 0.10 mg, or 0.15 mg once daily for 26 weeks). The primary endpoint was the number of T1 gadolinium-enhancing lesions on MRI; secondary endpoints included new and enlarging T2 lesions.

All three treatment groups showed a substantial decrease in MRI disease activity as measured both by gadolinium-enhancing lesion numbers and new or enlarging T2 lesions. Compared to placebo, lesion counts were reduced by 82 percent in the 0.05 mg/day group; 92 percent in the 0.10 mg/day group, and 77 percent in the 0.15 mg/day group. The study was not designed to evaluate relapse rates or disability progression, but there was a statistically significant decrease in relapse rate with the

MSAA

New S1P Receptor Modulators (continued)

0.10-mg dose).

Adverse events were similar to those seen with Gilenya, including bradycardia and lymphopenia (a reduction in circulating lymphocytes) in some patients. These were dose-related and did not result in drug discontinuation. More safety data will be gathered and the drug is expected to advance to a Phase III study.

Ponesimod

Ponesimod is another selective S1P receptor modulator that completed a Phase II trial, with results reported in 2012.³¹ In this study, 462 patients with RRMS were randomized to placebo or 10 mg, 20 mg, or 40 mg of ponesimod. Reductions in annualized relapse rate and new lesions were seen for all groups as compared with placebo, though the 40-mg dose generated an increase in adverse events including swelling of the extremities and difficulty breathing. With an 83percent decrease in gadolinium enhancing lesions and a favorable adverse event profile, the 20-mg dose of ponesimod may have the best benefit to risk profile in this trial.

Masitinib (also known as Kinavet® and Masivet®)

Company: AB Science

- Administered orally; dosage is under investigation
- Masitinib is being studied in progressive forms of MS

Masitinib is termed a protein kinase inhibitor. It selectively inhibits molecules (kinases) that play a major role in the activation of mast cells. Masitinib has a role in both veterinary and human medicine. Mast cells are involved in the immune response, in the recruitment of lymphocytes to the brain (lymphocytes are immunesystem cells produced to fight infection and disease), and also in inflammatory processes associated with MS. A small Phase II trial of masitinib in progressive MS³² showed a trend towards benefit, however, the results were not statistically significant.³³

In 2012, results from a Phase II study of 30 patients with masitinib were released. These indicated what is termed "proof of concept," showing that this agent may have potential in treating both PPMS and relapse-free SPMS. The study investigated the hypothesis that masitinib's action of targeting and inhibiting mast cells may delay the onset of symptoms



associated with progressive forms of MS. The results showed that for the primary endpoint of Multiple Sclerosis Functional Composite score (MSFC), which measures upper and lower limb function as well as cognition, 32 percent of patients treated with masitinib showed a response to treatment versus none of those receiving a placebo. Responses were seen in the third month and were sustained over the 18-month duration of the study.

A Phase IIb/III multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial³⁴ is currently underway. The investigators plan to recruit 450 patients with PPMS or SPMS without relapses. The primary endpoint will be an improvement in the MSFC scale at 96 weeks.

Tcelna[™] (formerly Tovaxin[®])

Company: Opexa Therapeutics

- Administered via subcutaneous injection every four weeks
- Tcelna is being studied in patients with CIS, RRMS, and SPMS

Tcelna is a T-cell vaccine. In the process of administering this vaccine, myelin-reactive T cells are removed from a small amount of the patient's blood, inactivated, and then injected back into the patient. The body's immune system then protects the myelin from these cells.

The TERMS placebo-controlled, one-year study in 150 patients with CIS and RRMS to evaluate Tcelna's efficacy, safety, and tolerability has been completed. The treatment was found to be safe, but did not achieve statistical significance in the primary endpoint, which was a reduction in the cumulative number of gadolinium-enhancing lesions.

There was an annualized relapse rate of 0.34 per year (or one relapse roughly every three years) in the placebo group and 0.21 per year (or roughly one relapse every five

years) in the Tcelna group, representing a 37-percent decrease. The drug was well tolerated with mild skin reactions in some patients; no serious safety concerns were raised by this study. In a subgroup of 70 patients who had at least one relapse in the 12 months prior to enrolling in the study and who had no previous exposure to MS therapy, Tcelna reduced their annualized relapse rate by 64 percent compared to placebo. Additionally, 76 percent of Tcelnatreated patients remained relapse-free at one year compared with 60 percent of placebo patients.

After re-branding this agent as Tcelna, a new clinical trial initiative was launched in 2012. Tcelna is being studied in a Phase II trial in SPMS in the Abili-T study.³⁵ This is a placebo-controlled two-year trial, evaluating

MSAA

Tcelna[™] (continued)

brain atrophy on MRI as the primary outcome, and delay in accumulation of sustained disability as the secondary outcome. The trial is planned to enroll 180 patients and is expected to run through the end of 2015.

BHT-3009

Company: Bayhill Therapeutics

- Administered by intramuscular injection
- Has been studied in RRMS

This DNA vaccine to myelin basic protein (MBP) contains the gene for MBP. This therapy is designed to cause immune tolerance, by reprogramming the immune system to decrease the response of the immune cells involved with MS. This would reduce the attack against the MBP in the myelin sheath.

Studies to date indicate that this vaccine is safe and well tolerated. BHT-3009 continued to demonstrate an excellent safety profile 13 months after the last dose, and reported safety data is similar to that found during the 44-week treatment phase. A sub-study with BHT-3009 found that pretreatment levels of anti-MBP antibodies predicted response to treatment with this agent on MRI outcomes of chronic "black hole" lesion formation.³⁶ This suggests a possible biomarker for patients who may respond to this modality of treatment. Bayhill is currently discussing Phase III studies with potential partners.

Vitamin D3

Vitamin D is a type of hormone and a powerful mediator of immune function. The data documenting an association between low Vitamin D and high MS risk, relapses, disability, and CNS inflammation now appear to be strong, consistent, and reproducible. Data from a number of areas of investigation suggest that Vitamin D may be one underlying common factor that begins to make sense of the large amount of data on the geographic distribution of susceptibility to MS.

Genetically, a link appears to exist between changes in the genes involved in the synthesis of the Vitamin D hormone and the Vitamin D hormone receptor, and the



risk of developing MS. The strongest genetic risk factor for MS is a specific gene (HLA DRB1*1501), whose activity appears to be influenced by Vitamin D.

A finding in animal models of MS that Vitamin D directly terminates production of disease-causing proteins may shed light on the mechanism of Vitamin D in MS. When Vitamin D is given to mice with EAE (an animal model of MS), it blocks the gene that encodes IL-17, stopping its production. IL-17 appears to be a major inflammatory component in MS. This study also demonstrates that Vitamin D increases suppressive T cells that combat inflammation.

An important longitudinal cohort study presented in 2012 by Mowry and colleagues³⁷ found that in people with MS, each 10 ng/ml higher Vitamin D level was associated with a 15-percent lower risk of a new T2 lesion, and a 32-percent lower risk of a gadolinium-enhancing lesion. Higher Vitamin D levels were associated with lower, but not statistically significant, relapse rates. While this was not a randomized treatment trial, it suggests that higher levels of Vitamin D may exert a protective role against MS disease activity. A number of new clinical trials, mostly using Vitamin D as an add-on to existing therapies in Phase IV studies, are ongoing to assess if supplemental Vitamin D can exert such disease-modifying effects. To follow is a sample of these investigations:

Mowry and colleagues at Johns Hopkins have initiated a multi-center clinical trial in

which patients with relapsing-remitting MS will receive high-dose (5,000 IU/day) or lowdose (600 IU/day) oral Vitamin D in addition to Copaxone.³⁸ Patients will be evaluated for two years, and the effect of high-dose Vitamin D supplementation on the rate of MS attacks as well as on the number of new lesions and change in brain volume on MRI will be determined. This trial is presently enrolling, with a goal of 172 participants, and is expected to run through December 2014.

Another study being run by the Johns Hopkins group is a small Phase I study³⁹ in 40 individuals with MS, designed to determine the safety and immunologic effects of supplementation with low-dose (400 mg) and high-dose (10,000 mg) cholecalciferol (Vitamin D3), began in March 2010. The primary outcome measure is to determine the safety of high-dose Vitamin D3 and to assess the effects of this supplementation on serum immune markers; its clinical effects will also be assessed. Data are forthcoming.

A Phase II study that is currently recruiting⁴⁰ participants is investigating whether Vigantol[®] oil, a form of Vitamin D hormone supplement (cholecalciferol), provides any added benefit when given in conjunction with Rebif. The study will have 348 participants; it began in February 2011 and is scheduled for completion in March 2014. Primary outcome measures are the mean change from baseline in the total volume of T2 lesions at week 48 and the

MSAA

Vitamin D3 (continued)

proportion of relapse-free subjects at week 96. Secondary outcome measures include sustained disability progression, MRI measures of disease progression, the proportion of subjects free from disease activity at 96 weeks, and changes in cognitive function.

A Finnish Phase IV study with 70 participants is investigating cholecalciferol (Vitamin D3) as an add-on treatment to Betaseron in RRMS. Its primary outcome measure is biochemical; a secondary measure is the number of gadoliniumenhancing lesions and/or new enlarging lesions. It began in March 2008; data is still forthcoming.

The French CHOLINE Phase II study⁴¹ of 250 individuals with RRMS who are

receiving ongoing treatment with Rebif began in January 2010 and is scheduled for completion in July 2014. Its primary outcome measure is a reduction in relapse rate; secondary outcome measures include the time to a first documented relapse, the mean number of relapses per subject per year, the number of relapse-free patients after two years of treatment, MRI measures of progression and lesion load, and change in quality of life.

Please note that no safety issues have been reported with these larger daily doses of Vitamin D3 (such as 5,000 to 10,000 IU/day). However, as with all medications and supplements, individuals should always consult their physician before making any changes to their treatment plan.

Statins

Statins are oral medications that are most commonly prescribed to lower cholesterol. Current interest is based on a non-controlled observational study (a study without a placebo group) suggesting that the risk of developing new brain lesions was reduced by about half if patients with early forms of MS were taking atorvastatin (Lipitor®). However, a three-year Danish study of patients with RRMS failed to find any beneficial effect for simvastatin as an add-on therapy to Avonex. The use of statins to lower cholesterol in patients on interferons should be discussed with a healthcare professional to consider the potential benefits versus risks.

At the ECTRIMS (European Committee for Treatment and Research in Multiple Sclerosis) annual meeting in Fall 2012, Chataway and colleagues presented the results of the MS-STAT trial.⁴² This Phase II study evaluated whether high-dose simvastatin can slow the rate of whole-brain atrophy, and/or disability, in secondaryprogressive MS (SPMS). In this study, 140 patients were randomized, and the simvastatin group had a statistically significant benefit over the placebo group for the Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) at two years, and the rate of brain atrophy was decreased. This serves as a positive proof-of-principle project that may allow for a larger trial that can look at the clinical outcomes as the primary outcomes measure. As effective treatments for SPMS remain an unmet need, and since these are readily available drugs, this is a tantalizing possibility.

Tetracycline Antibiotics

The tetracycline antibiotics, including minocycline and doxycycline, have immunomodulatory and neuroprotective activities. They appear to decrease the passage of lymphocytes across the bloodbrain barrier. A small Phase II trial of Copaxone plus minocycline showed favorable MRI data, with minocycline decreasing gadolinium-enhancing activity by 50 percent over a period of six months. A subsequent 24-month trial showed a significant decrease in lesion activity and clinical status.

In a larger study of 305 patients called RECYCLINE, minocycline was used as an add-on to Rebif in patients with RRMS. Patients being treated with Rebif were randomized to oral placebo (n = 155) or minocycline 100 mg (n = 149) twice daily for 96 weeks. Data were presented at ECTRIMS in the fall of 2012,⁴³ and disappointingly, minocycline did not provide significant improvement to either clinical or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) outcomes. Further studies of minocycline are not thought to be warranted.

Another Phase III trial with 200 participants looking at minocycline is still ongoing. This trial will evaluate the effect of 100 mg of oral minocycline twice daily on the conversion of clinically isolated syndrome (CIS) to a diagnosis of MS at six and 24 months. It began in January 2009 and is scheduled for completion in December 2015. It will determine whether 100 mg of oral minocycline twice daily reduces the conversion of clinically isolated syndrome (CIS) to clinically active MS and if any treatment benefit seen after six months is maintained at two years.

Chronic Cerebrospinal Venous Insufficiency (CCSVI)

Over the past several years, the Chronic Cerebrospinal Venous Insufficiency (CCSVI) theory of MS pathogenesis has received considerable attention, and although as yet unproven, this remains an area of significant ongoing research. The evidence continues to increase that occlusions/obstructions of the vascular system in cerebrospinal veins (certain veins located in the head and neck) imaged with ultrasound and magnetic resonance venography do not appear to be related to MS.

Reports from an ongoing study at the University of Texas Health Sciences Center in Houston showed that people with and without MS had abnormalities consistent with CCSVI, and that this abnormality was not found to be more common in people with MS. The group used strict ultrasound criteria definitions, and concluded that their tests – using neurosonography and magnetic resonance venography – did not support the concept that CCSVI is causally involved in MS.

Several vascular intervention procedures to address the reported venous narrowing in MS are being studied, however, these procedures have also been offered in clinical practice, outside of the safety oversight inherent in clinical trials. Shortly after the American Academy of Neurology's (AAN) meeting in mid-2012, the FDA issued an alert about risks, including death, associated with these surgical treatments of CCSVI.

The FDA Safety Communication regarding CCSVI treatment in MS stated that, as of May 2012, the FDA believes there is no reliable evidence from controlled clinical trials that this procedure is effective in treating MS. Data to support CCSVI as a clinical entity on its own or its relationship with MS are inconclusive and at times. contradictory. The FDA believes that using these medical devices in CCSVI treatment. procedures poses a risk to patients for several reasons. First, there is no clear diagnostic evidence that CCSVI exists as a distinct clinical disorder or is linked to MS. Secondly, the venous stenoses seen on imaging tests may be normal variants and not related to a disease process. Furthermore, the safety and effectiveness of using balloon angioplasty devices or stents in the internal jugular or azygos veins have not been established, and that major risks, including death, have been associated with these procedures.

The largest CCSVI study to date was subsequently presented at ECTRIMS in the fall of 2012. In the CoSMo study, Comi and Italian colleagues studied nearly 2,000 people with MS and other neurological diseases, as well as healthy controls. They used both trained local sonographers, as well as review by three central imaging experts: Erwin Stolz, MD, of Justus-Liebig University



in Giessen, Germany; Massimo Del Sette, MD, chairman of the Italian Society of Neurosonology and Cerebral Hemodynamics; and Giovanni Malferrari, MD, chairman of the Italian Interdisciplinary Neurovascular Society. (The three imaging experts were all "blinded," meaning that they did not know which patients had MS and which were the healthy controls.)

The CoSMo study found evidence of CCSVI in 3.3 percent of MS patients, 3.1 percent of other neurological diseases, and 2.1 percent of healthy controls. Interestingly, the central reviewers found less evidence of CCSVI than the local sonographers, which speaks to the importance of blinding and rigorous trial design to yield meaningful results. Differences in CCSVI between MS and other neurologic conditions and healthy participants were not statistically different, and the study group concluded that the data did not support that CCSVI is a disease connected to MS.

One negative study does not alone disprove a theory, and numerous other CCSVI research projects are still in progress, including research in Europe and the United States. A study for the Evaluation of Angioplasty in the Treatment of Chronic Cerebrospinal Venous Insufficiency (CCSVI) in Multiple Sclerosis⁴⁴ is being coordinated at Albany Medical Center, and is still ongoing.

MSAA enthusiastically but cautiously supports the investigation of any plausible

causes and treatments for MS, striving to communicate such research to the MS community as soon as any information becomes available. However, at the same time, MSAA's policy is to consider patient safety as the top priority – recognizing that all prospective theories and experimental treatments need to be thoroughly studied through rigorous clinical trials.

As with any unproven theory and treatment, interested patients are strongly encouraged to first talk with their doctor. If appropriate, individuals may seek to participate in an approved clinical trial. Without a tested and proven protocol for the diagnosis and treatment of CCSVI, individuals could be putting themselves at risk by undergoing a procedure in a nonresearch, "commercial" setting. Caution and skepticism is advised pertaining to research projects that have not been reviewed by the FDA, are not listed on **clinicaltrials.gov**, and charge "retail prices" or require travel to a foreign country for the procedure.

For more information on CCSVI and the 2012 FDA warning, please refer to MSAA's online news articles, "FDA Issues CCSVI Treatment Warning" and "CCSVI Update." These may be accessed by visiting MSAA's website at **mymsaa.org** and selecting "News from MSAA" under "MS News," and then scrolling down to the articles on CCSVI.

MSAA

New Therapies under Investigation

The earlier listing of approved and experimental drugs is only a fraction of the many treatments currently being studied. Some of the following are among the most exciting potential therapies under investigation. These very brief snapshots of highly technical concepts will warrant more in-depth explanations in the future, if pilot clinical trials are encouraging.

Erythropoietin: Erythropoietin is a hormone produced by the kidneys that promotes the formation of red blood cells in the bone marrow. It has shown neuroprotective effects in animal studies. A German Phase I/IIa pilot study suggests that high-dose treatment, but not a lowerdose regimen, leads to clinical improvement of motor function. Cognitive performance was also improved. Studies are ongoing, including one evaluating erythropoietin as an adjunct treatment for optic neuritis.⁴⁵

Idebenone (Catena[®], Sovrima[®]): This experimental drug, similar to coenzyme Q10, was initially developed to treat Alzheimer's disease and other cognitive defects. It is being explored in MS because oxidative stress has been postulated to play a role in the death of myelin-producing cells, which has been linked to MS progression. Oxidation is the body's natural metabolism of oxygen. When disturbances occur in this process, "oxidative stress" can result, causing damage to the body's cells and tissues. Oxidative stress is believed to be a contributing factor in many diseases, including those affecting the nerves and the immune system.

A double-blind, placebo-controlled Phase I/II clinical trial of idebenone⁴⁶, sponsored by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, is currently recruiting participants with PPMS with little to moderate disability. It began in July 2009 and is scheduled for completion in September 2016.

MIS416: This "therapeutic vaccine" is a potent activator of the innate immune system, which provides immediate defense against infection but does not result in longlasting or protective immunity. It has been primarily tested in cancer and acquired infections, with the goal of enhancing the inherent capability of a person's immune system to fight disease. A Phase I/II study to evaluate the safety and tolerability of IVadministered MIS416 in people with either PPMS or SPMS presented interim results in 2012. This open-label, dose-escalation/ confirmation trial showed MIS416 to be well tolerated and identified a clinical dose for further evaluation. Moreover, during the dose confirmation portion of the study, eight of 10 patients with SPMS who were treated



with MIS416 for 12 weeks showed some improvement. Further Phase II studies are planned, but are not as yet enrolling.

Transdermal Administration of Peptides:

A small Polish study of 30 individuals⁴⁷ with RRMS evaluated the efficacy and safety of transdermal (skin patch) administration of two dose levels of three myelin peptides: MBP 85-99, PLP 139-151 and MOG, versus controls. In the lower-dose group, which received 1 mg each of the three peptides, the annual relapse rate at one year was reduced by 65 percent compared with placebo, progression in the EDSS was slightly lower, and 56 percent were relapsefree versus 10 percent in the placebo group. The treated group also showed a decrease in gadolinium-enhancing lesion volume and T2lesion volume. The treatment was safe and well-tolerated. This approach may be pursued in future studies.

Other Agents in Development

A number of other agents have shown some encouraging immunomodulatory effects and have been studied in humans. These agents are under investigation for possible future use in MS and include the following experimental treatments:

RTL1000 is a protein that inhibits the activation of myelin-reactive T cells, preventing the release of inflammatory cytokines and causing the release of anti-inflammatory cytokines. This molecule is

related to the pathways studied transdermally (through the skin), discussed earlier. A preliminary safety/tolerability dose-finding study of RTL1000 was reported in 2012.⁴⁸

SB-683699 (Firategrast) is an oral agent thought to reduce the number of active white blood cells entering the brain via a similar mechanism to Tysabri. It had positive results in a placebo-controlled Phase II trial⁴⁹ using gadolinium-enhancing lesions as the primary outcome.

AIN457 is a humanized monoclonal antibody to IL-17. A recent study⁵⁰ administered AIN457 to a very small number of patients with psoriasis, rheumatoid arthritis, and uveitis with variable results. A Phase II trial in RRMS⁵¹ enrolled approximately 90 patients in 2012; data is forthcoming.

CGP77116 is a small protein similar to myelin basic protein (MBP) and designed to modify the immune reaction that destroys myelin.

Anti-Lingo-1 (BIIBO33) is an agent with potential remyelinative properties, after animal studies showed that it promotes spinal cord remyelination and axonal integrity in the animal model of MS (EAE). BIIBO33 has completed its first Phase I trial in 42 MS patients in 2012; data is forthcoming.

MSAA

New Therapeutic Approaches

Neuroprotective agents: The term "neuroprotection" refers to strategies designed to prevent irreversible damage from a variety of cell types in the central nervous system (CNS), as well as to promote regeneration after MS-related damage has occurred. These have the goal of preventing the development of disability. A variety of neuroprotective strategies are now being studied.

- One that seems especially promising is to identify the role that the neurotoxic transmitters Glutamate and Nitric Oxide play in the development of neuronal damage, with the goal of preventing this process.
- At the same time, studies are focusing on stimulating growth factors that promote neural function, such as brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF). This combination – decreasing factors that cause damage while at the same time increasing factors that stimulate growth

 holds significant potential for preventing MS-related damage and stimulating neuronal function.

Bone-marrow derived, stem-cell transplantation: Based on encouraging results from a variety of studies, clinical trials are now starting to enroll patients. They involve both bone-marrow-derived stem cells, from which white blood cells developed, and mesenchymal stem cells, which are derived from tissues other than bone marrow.

Biomarkers

In medicine, the term biomarker refers to anything that can be used as an indicator of a particular disease state; in effect a biomarker is a surrogate for the disease state. It often refers to a protein measured in blood, whose concentration reflects the severity or presence of disease and/or that can be used to measure therapeutic effectiveness. Many types of biomarkers are being researched in MS, and are likely to grow in importance in the coming years.

Although the term itself is relatively new, biomarkers have long been used in medicine. For example, body temperature is a well-known biomarker for fever, blood pressure helps determine the risk of stroke, and cholesterol levels are a biomarker and risk indicator for coronary and vascular disease. Biomarkers are often seen as the key to the future of what is termed "personalized medicine." This refers to treatments that can be individually tailored to specific patients for highly efficient intervention in disease processes.

The concept of personalizing MS care has been implemented in a general way by the use of disease-modifying therapies based on someone's clinical course – CIS, RRMS, SPMS, PRMS, or PPMS – categories that are entirely based on a patient's clinical



history. This approach has been refined as clinicians may recommend "more aggressive" therapies based on markers of disease severity (such as MRI lesions), as well as on demographic factors that may be concerning for a more difficult disease course.

The search for biomarkers of MS is referred to throughout this article, and studies are ongoing for all major MS drugs to find markers that will help determine who should be treated with that drug as well as how effective the drug is after therapy is begun. We already utilize one type of blood test to help predict ongoing therapeutic response – neutralizing antibodies to the interferons and Tysabri. A major goal of biomarker studies is to be able to decide which patient is most likely to respond to which therapy before it is started, so the decision about which medication to start can be optimized.

For example, current studies are showing that it may soon be possible to determine who might be a suboptimal responder to interferons, based on immune systemrelated substances that can be measured in the blood. Another study was designed to evaluate whether the type of cytokine present prior to treatment with Copaxone might act as a biomarker to identify those individuals with RRMS who are more likely to respond to immunomodulating treatments. It showed that people who responded to Copaxone secreted higher levels of specific inflammatory cytokines prior to treatment. A genetic study, with results reported in 2012, looking at the response to Copaxone, also suggested that multiple genetic markers may predict a favorable response to this medication.

An additional use of biomarkers will be to predict and minimize the risk of medicationrelated adverse events. This approach has already proved effective for new infectious biomarkers, such as the development of a blood test for JC virus antibodies, to identify who is at greater or lesser PML risk when treated with Tysabri. Based on this blood test, selection of Tysabri can be more precisely personalized to maximize the benefit/risk ratio for this medication in practice. This type of biomarker strategy may also prove useful in predicting the risk on an individual basis of non-infectious adverse events to some of the investigational medicines reviewed.

A strong link exists between biomarkers and genetics, and the line between them may sometimes appear blurred. This is because many of the biomarkers that are being discovered relate to the activity of specific genes that code for proteins involved in inflammation, or are otherwise linked to the response to disease-modifying therapies. Studies of the gene expression signature, through global gene expression analysis, reveals the pattern of the entire DNA in an individual. This type of study has become possible due to recent advances in highspeed genetic pattern analysis. For example:



New Therapeutic Approaches (continued)

- Genes found to be differently expressed in MS, effectively become biomarkers for disease progression and may change as the result of treatment. A recent study identified several candidate genes that could potentially serve as biomarkers of interferon treatment or targets for therapeutic intervention in MS.
- A study using gene expression analysis of whole blood showed significant differences in expression profiles of patients with optic neuritis compared with healthy controls.
- Another study showed that interferon therapy induces the expression of genes involved in interferon regulation and signaling; a subgroup of patients with a higher risk for relapses showed a different expression of specific genes.

An ongoing clinical trial sponsored by the National Institutes of Health is studying more than 1,000 people with RRMS participating in the CombiRx study (described on page 11); this includes patients on interferon only, Copaxone only, or a combination of both. Samples of serum and white blood cells are being obtained from each patient prior to the study and at regular intervals thereafter.

Although Copaxone and Avonex did not differ greatly in their efficacy in the CombiRx trial, certainly both drugs work well for some people and less well for others. This study will identify biomarkers (genes and the proteins they encode) and link them to clinical- and MRI-based outcomes, such as the extent of inflammation and rate of disease progression. It will examine how the biomarkers may be related to disease development and progression as well as differences among patients' symptoms and response to treatment. Based on these genetic biomarkers, likely best-responders to either form of therapy can be identified.

Genetic Studies

As discussed in this article in previous years, there has been a growing body of evidence for the genetic component in MS. The studies on biomarkers have arisen as the result of this work, and a number of genes that are linked to the development of MS have been identified.

This field of research saw a major breakthrough in August 2011, when the journal *Nature* published the results of the largest MS genetics study ever undertaken. A global collaboration of scientists identified 29 new genetic variants associated with MS, and confirmed 23 others that had been previously associated with the disease. The study confirmed that the immune system plays a major role in the development of MS: most of these genes are related to immune function, and more than one-third of them have previously been confirmed to be associated with other autoimmune diseases, such as Crohn's disease and type 1 diabetes.



The study involved nearly 10,000 people with MS and more than 17,000 controls without MS, in 15 countries. The research was carried out by approximately 250 investigators. The results are now to be confirmed and expanded in a second, large-scale study.

The team found that a large number of these genes are related to T-cell function; they were mainly associated with T-cell activation and proliferation. This was particularly important because these are the cells believed to be the major mediators of the early immune attack on the brain and spinal cord in MS. Two of the genes are linked to Vitamin D, and low Vitamin D levels have already been implicated as a risk factor for developing MS. More than one third of the genes are known to be associated with autoimmune diseases such as Crohn's disease and type 1 diabetes; MS is believed to be an autoimmune disease as well.

These and other genetics studies do not as yet significantly improve our ability to provide genetic counseling to individuals concerned about their risk of developing MS. However, they should help researchers to better define the biological pathways that lead to the development of MS. It is also hoped that they will enhance our ability to design better treatments for early MS.

CLOSING NOTES

In summary, the future of diseasemodifying therapies (DMTs) for MS continues to be promising, both in terms of new information about currently approved DMTs and exciting results for emerging therapies. Advances in genetic and biomarker studies hold the promise that, in the future, it will be possible to personalize the decisions about MS therapy in a precise, biologically-driven manner.

In the short term, our arsenal of MS therapies is poised to grow. Along with these new therapies come a host of new challenges and risks, which will require vigilance and a thoughtful approach to medication selection and management. The new generation of MS medications will undoubtedly enhance both the benefits, and the complexity, of the MS therapy decision-making process. As clinicians have more numerous and more complex treatment options to offer patients, the need for patient education and awareness has become more crucial. Now more than ever is the age of empowered, highlyinformed patients, who can be true participants in their MS care in collaboration with their treatment team. We hope this update is a valuable part of that process. For more information about clinical trials, please visit www.clinicaltrials.gov. For more information about MS and its treatments, please contact MSAA at (800) 532-7667, or visit mymsaa.org.

MSA,

REFERENCES FOR RECENT STUDY RESULTS (older references not included)

 1 Goodin DS, et al. "Survival in MS: a randomized cohort study 21 years after the start of the pivotal IFN β -1b trial." *Neurology.* 2012 Apr 24;78(17):1315-22.

²Fabian, et al. Presented at the AAN Thursday, April 14, 2011. See http://www.mssm.edu/departments-and-institutes/neurology/news/mount-sinai-researchers-help-identify-differences-in-disease-progression-in-two-subtypes-of-ms

³Khan, et al. "A phase 3 trial to assess the efficacy and safety of glatiramer acetate injections 40mg administered 3 times a week compared to placebo." Presented at ECTRIMS 2012.

⁴Lublin F, et al. "The CombiRx Trial: A Multi-Center, Double-Blind, Randomized Study Comparing the Combined Use of Interferon Beta-1a and Glatiramer Acetate to Either Agent Alone in Participants with Relapsing-Remitting Multiple Sclerosis – Clinical Outcomes." Presented at AAN; April 21-28, 2012; PL02.003.

⁵Wolinsky J, et al. "The CombiRx Trial: A Multi-Center, Double-Blind, Randomized Study Comparing the Combined Use of Interferon Beta-1a and Glatiramer Acetate to Either Agent Alone in Participants with Relapsing Remitting Multiple Sclerosis – MRI Outcomes." Presented at AAN; April 21-28, 2012; S11.002.

⁶ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00958009

⁷ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01036165

⁸Comi G, et al. "Comparison of two dosing frequencies of subcutaneous interferon beta-1a in patients with a first clinical demyelinating event suggestive of multiple sclerosis (REFLEX): a phase 3 randomised controlled trial." *Lancet Neurol.* 2012 Jan;11(1):33-41. Epub 2011 Dec 4. Erratum in: *Lancet Neurol.* 2012 Feb;11(2):125.

⁹Bloomgren G, et al. Presented at ECTRIMS 2011; Amsterdam, Netherlands. [P995] Fox and Rudick, *Neurology*, 2012.

¹⁰Christensen JR, et al. Presented at ECTRIMS 2012; October 9–13, 2012; Lyon, France. [Abstract 170]

¹¹ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01416181

 ¹² Fox R et al., "5th Joint Triennial Congress of the European and Americas Committees for Treatment and Research in Multiple Sclerosis (ECTRIMS/ACTRIMS): Abstract 150." Presented October 22, 2011.

¹³ Kappos, et al. "28th Congress of the European Committee for Treatment and Research in Multiple Sclerosis (ECTRIMS). Abstract 153." Presented October 12, 2012.

¹⁴http://en.sanofi.com/Images/ 29301_20111220_TENERE_en.pdf

¹⁵ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01633112

¹⁶ Gold R, et al. "Clinical efficacy of BG-12, an oral therapy, in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: data from the phase 3 DEFINE trial." *Multiple Sclerosis Journal*. 17, S9-S52 (2011). Initially presented as platform 95, ECTRIMS/ACTRIMS Joint Triennial Congress, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 19-22 October 2011.

¹⁷ Fox R, et al. "BG-12 CONFIRM Trial." Presented at AAN; April 21-28, 2012; New Orleans, LA. [Abstract S01.003]

¹⁸ Phillips JT, et al. "Long-term safety and tolerability of oral BG-12 (dimethyl fumarate) in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: interim results from ENDORSE" (Dallas, Cleveland, US; Lodz, PL; Weston, US; Bochum, DE).

¹⁹ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01707992

²⁰ Cohen JA, et al. "Alemtuzumab versus interferon beta 1a as first-line treatment for patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: a randomised controlled phase 3 trial." CARE-MS I investigators. Lancet. 2012 Nov 24;380(9856):1819-28.

²¹ Coles AJ, et al. "Alemtuzumab for patients with relapsing multiple sclerosis after disease-modifying therapy: a randomised controlled phase 3 trial." *CARE-MS II investigators. Lancet.* 2012 Nov 24;380(9856):1829-39.

²² Giovannoni G, et al. Presented at ECTRIMS 2012; October 9–13, 2012; Lyon, France. [Abstract P169]

²³ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01064401

²⁴ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01212094



²⁵ Kappos L, et al. "Ocrelizumab in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: a phase 2, randomised, placebocontrolled, multicentre trial." *Lancet.* 378 (9805), 1779-87 (2011).

²⁶ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01412333

²⁷ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01247324

²⁸ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01194570

²⁹ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01457924

³⁰ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01665144

³¹Olsson T, et al. [Abstract 152]; Freedman MS, et al. [Abstract P923]; Fernandez O, et al. [Abstract 919] All presented at ECTRIMS 2012; October 9–13, 2012; Lyon, France.

³²ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01450488

³³Vermersch P, et al. "Oral Masitinib in Patients with Primary and Secondary Progressive Multiple Sclerosis." Presented at American Academy of Neurology Annual Meeting. Seattle, WA, USA, 25 April-2 May 2009.

³⁴ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01433497

³⁵ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01684761

³⁶ Papadopoulou A, et al. "Evolution of MS lesions to black holes under DNA vaccine treatment." *J Neurol.* 2012 Jul;259(7):1375-82. doi: 10.1007/s00415-011-6361-x. Epub 2012 Jan 6.

³⁷ Mowry EM, et al. "Vitamin D status predicts new brain magnetic resonance imaging activity in multiple sclerosis." *Ann Neurol.* 2012 Aug;72(2):234-40. doi: 10.1002/ana.23591.

³⁸ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01490502

³⁹ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01024777

⁴⁰ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01285401

⁴¹ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01198132

⁴²Chataway J, et al. "The MS-STAT trial: high dose simvastatin demonstrates neuroprotection without immune-modulation in secondary-progressive multiple sclerosis (SPMS) – a phase II trial." [London, Brighton, GB]

⁴³ Sorensen PS, et al. "No beneficial effect of minocycline as add-on therapy to interferon-beta-1a for the treatment of relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: results of a large double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial." On behalf of the RECYCLINE Study Investigators.

⁴⁴ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01201707

⁴⁵ Clinical Trials.gov Identifier: NCT00355095

⁴⁶ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00950248

⁴⁷ Juryńczyk M, et al. "Immune regulation of multiple sclerosis by transdermally applied myelin peptides." *Ann Neurol.* 2010 Nov;68(5):593-601. doi: 10.1002/ana.22219.

⁴⁸ Yadav V, et al. "Recombinant T-Cell Receptor Ligand (RTL) for Treatment of Multiple Sclerosis: A Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Phase 1, Dose-Escalation Study." *Autoimmune Dis.* 2012;2012:954739. Epub 2012 Apr 5.

⁴⁹ Miller DH, et al. "Firategrast for relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis: a phase 2, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial." *Lancet Neurol.* 2012
Feb;11(2):131-9. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(11)70299-X. Epub 2012 Jan 5.

⁵⁰ Hueber W, et al. "Effects of AIN457, a fully human antibody to interleukin-17A, on psoriasis, rheumatoid arthritis, and uveitis." *Sci Transl Med.* 2(52), 52ra72 (2010).

⁵¹ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01051817

MSAA



Multiple Sclerosis Association of America 706 Haddonfield Road Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 USA

CHANGE SERVICE REQUESTED

Please Visit MSAA's **New Website!**

mymsaa.org ເມີກງ

MSAA has launched a completely updated and redesigned website! It features drop-down menus and colorful graphics, plus extensive information and online educational videos covering a wide range of topics – from MS news, research, and treatments... to MSAA programs, our calendar of events, and ways to get involved.



mymsaa.org



NATIONAL HEADQUARTERS

706 Haddonfield Road Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 HELPLINE: (800) 532-7667 EMAIL: msaa@mymsaa.org WEBSITE: mymsaa.org